



Report on each of the thematic priorities defined in the second phase of the identification and consultation process (Health, Transport and Environment) and Update including the field of Transport/SEETRANS and Social Sciences and Humanities

Deliverable Number:	D2.12 (update)
Deliverable Nature:	Report
Deliverable dissemination level:	RE
Workpackage Number:	WP2
Workpackage Title:	Priority Setting to Structure Participation in FP
Task Number:	T 2.4
Task Title:	Organisation of Consultation Process
Submission Date:	July 10, 2009

	Current Update: December 21, 2011
Task Leading Partner:	MoS
Contributing Partners:	PT-DLR (WP lead), ZSI, BMBF, UNU-MERIT, WBC ministries and support institutes

Document Revision History

Version	Date	Comment	Author
01	10/07/2009		Tanja KNEZEVIC
02	24/07/2009	This version is based on the QA remarks and suggestions	Tanja KNEZEVIC
03	28/07/2009	comments to version 02	Ulrike KUNZE Andreas KAHLE
04	04/2009	Version based on QA and WP leader comments	Tanja KNEZEVIC
05	04/12/2011	Update as regards Transport follow-up event	Milena MILONJIC
06	09/12/2011	Suggestions for amendments	Ulrike KUNZE
07	21/12/2011	Version based on WP leader's comments	Milena MILONJIC
08	29/12/2011	QA	Christina MIARITI, Nikos ZAHARIS
09	25/02/2011	Final version	Milena MILONJIC, Elke DALL

Table of Contents

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	5
2	CONSULTATION SESSION ON HEALTH: KEY ISSUES AND DISCUSSION	8
2.1	PRESENTATION OF S&T SYSTEMS AND REGIONAL RESEARCH PRIORITIES	8
2.2	DISCUSSION ON JOINT PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR JOINT GENERIC ACTIVITIES	9
2.3	PRESENTATIONS BY INVITED EXPERTS	15
2.3.1	<i>Cooperation possibilities in the field of Health</i>	<i>15</i>
2.3.2	<i>Public Health Research in the Western Balkan Countries</i>	<i>15</i>
2.3.3	<i>Bio banking for biomedical research.....</i>	<i>15</i>
2.3.4	<i>SEE health network.....</i>	<i>16</i>
3	CONSULTATION SESSION ON TRANSPORT: KEY ISSUES AND DISCUSSION.....	17
3.1	PRESENTATION OF S&T SYSTEMS AND REGIONAL RESEARCH PRIORITIES	17
3.2	DISCUSSION ON JOINT PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR JOINT GENERIC ACTIVITIES	18
3.3	PRESENTATIONS OF INVITED EXPERTS.....	24
3.3.1	<i>ETNA project</i>	<i>24</i>
3.3.2	<i>ERA-NET TRANSPORT II</i>	<i>24</i>
3.3.3	<i>Examples for a Technology Platform (ERRAC) and a FP7 Project (TransNEW).....</i>	<i>24</i>
3.3.4	<i>Initiatives such as FEHRL, ERTRAC and the Construction Technology Platform.....</i>	<i>25</i>
4	CONSULTATION SESSION ON ENVIRONMENT: KEY ISSUES AND DISCUSSION.....	26
4.1	PRESENTATION OF S&T SYSTEMS AND REGIONAL RESEARCH PRIORITIES	26
4.2	DISCUSSION ON JOINT PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR JOINT GENERIC ACTIVITIES	27
4.3	ADVERTISE THE PROJECT ERA WESTBALKAN DATA BASE. PRESENTATIONS OF INVITED EXPERTS.....	37
5	ANNEX 1: AGENDA OF CONSULTATION SESSION ON HEALTH.....	38
6	ANNEX 2: AGENDA OF CONSULTATION SESSION ON TRANSPORT	52
7	ANNEX 3: AGENDA OF CONSULTATION SESSION ON ENVIRONMENT	60
8	ANNEX 4: USEFUL LINKS AND ADDRESSES	69
9	ANNEX 5: FOLLOW-UP CONSULTATION SESSION IN THE FIELD OF TRANSPORT / SEETRANS 2011.....	70
10	ANNEX 6: CONSULTATION SESSION ON SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES (SSH)	95

List of Tables

Table 1: list of priorities based on the country reports	10
Table 2: Regional research priorities commonly agreed on by the WBC	14
Table 3: Regional research priorities of each of the WBC, based on national background reports	20
Table 4: Research priorities of the WBCs in the field of Transport.....	23
Table 5: Research priorities of the WBC in the field of environment taken from their national background.....	27

1 Executive summary

Within Work Package 2 of the WBC-INCO.NET project, the priority setting process took place with the main aim to identify joint RTD priorities and potentials for the region of the Western Balkans, all in accordance with the proposed sound methodology, and in a transparent way. Based on the consultation of governmental stakeholders from the region, the initially intended nine workshops, dedicated to different priorities of FP7 Cooperation Programme, were reduced to five, aimed at priorities with the biggest current potential for regional cooperation.

Following the process that started in December 2008 and included a session on ICT, and a further session on AgroFood in January 2009, three more consultation sessions were held during May and June 2009 in three Western Balkan countries. These sessions were dedicated to the following research topics: Health, Transport and Environment. In that way, the series of five consultation sessions was completed.

The series of consultation sessions aimed at defining thematic regional research priorities for WBC. Another part of the sessions was devoted to giving recommendations for major generic activities and for further cooperation possibilities with the WBC.

However, the process of national priority setting differed for these three topics. In case of ICT and AgroFood, results, namely the SCORE and BAFN projects, were already available for four WBC (Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, FY Republic of Macedonia, Serbia). Therefore, within the WBC-INCO.NET, reports for Croatia and Montenegro were developed, following the proposed methodology. On the other hand, for the themes of Health, Transport and Environment, described in this report, no similar exercises were carried out so far, and reports were prepared in accordance with the WBC-INCO.NET methodology for the whole region. Additionally a report was also prepared by Kosovo^{*}. In total, a set of seven national background reports on the S&T systems and the national priorities for each WBC per Theme was produced.

The respective consultation sessions were held according to the following schedule: Health - Tirana, Albania, on 12 and 13 May, 2009; Transport – Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, on 19 and 20 May, 2009; Environment – Skopje, FYR of Macedonia, 16 and 17 of June, 2009. Again, representatives of different stakeholders, i.e. researchers, industry representatives, governmental representatives, together discussed joint research priorities. The suggestion to include members of the FP7 Programme Committees was followed, and there was at least one present at each of the sessions. In addition, DG RTD representatives also took part in the sessions, learning on the spot about the specific research needs and possible initiatives of the Western Balkan region.

^{*} under UNSCR 1244

At the end of 2009 was decided to carry out a priority setting exercise for two more themes: Energy and Social Sciences and Humanities - topics of most interest for Western Balkan countries. Within WBC-INCO.NET ENHANCED follow up of consultation session in Transport was held in April 2011 and consultation session on SSH in September 2011, back to back with EU-Conference and brokerage event.

The priorities were defined as follows:

Health:

- Oncology
- Cardiovascular diseases
- Public health
- Mental health
- Infectious diseases

Transport:

- Impact of surface transport on environment and safety
 - a) Coastal seas
 - b) Inland waterways
 - c) Railways
 - d) Roads
- Advanced materials and structures engineering for safer and greener means of transport
- Passenger and freight intermodal transport and optimal use of various transport modes
- Application of advanced simulations in transport systems
- Application of ICT in intelligent transport systems

Environment:

- Biodiversity
- Climate change
- Sustainable management of natural resources
- Cleaner production/Environmental technologies
- Ecosystems
- Cultural heritage

Also, the invited experts in the events gave presentations on other initiatives that can be of interest to the participants. These initiatives provide support activities the researchers can participate in, and provide therefore a basis for cooperation. The participants learned about thematic ERA – NETs, Technology Platforms, ongoing NCP projects, etc. The invited external experts had also an important role in the discussions giving on one hand substantial input and – some of them - being on the other hand excellent moderators of these sessions.

Since the report on the first two workshops was comprehensive and detailed (Deliverable 2.10), it was agreed between the Work Package and the Task leader to focus this report more on priorities and recommendations defined, and less on the presentations¹.

The Deliverable D2.12 was updated in December 2011 in order to reflect the activities carried out during 2011. This update encompasses

the follow-up consultation session in the field of Transport (SEETRANS -_Transport Research Oportunities for Southeast Europe in the EU) that was held on April 12 and 13, 2011 in Ljubljana/Slovenia (Annex 5); and

the consultation session in the field of Social Sciences and Humanities held back-to-back to the EU Conference “Challenge Social Innovation” which took place on September 19-21, 2011 in Vienna/Austria (Annex 6).

The results are given in the Annexes 5 and 6.

¹ Full reports as well as presentations can be found on the project web-site: <http://www.wbc-inco.net/object/calendar/33008.html> for Health

<http://www.wbc-inco.net/object/calendar/33017.html> for Transport

<http://www.wbc-inco.net/object/calendar/33002.html> for Environment

2 Consultation session on Health: key issues and discussion

[The agenda and list of participants are given in Annex1](#)

The consultation session on health took place in Tirana, on May 12 and 13, 2009.

After being welcomed by Mr Edmond **AGOLLI**, Advisor at the Ministry of Education and Science, Mrs. Adriana **GJONAJ**, Deputy Minister for Education and Science and Dr Arian **HARXHI**, Deputy Minister of Health, as well as Mr Andreas **KAHLE** from German Federal Ministry of Research and Education, as representative of the WP leader, the participants introduced themselves to each other during a *tour de table*.

An introduction to the WBC-INCO.NET project, and its WP2, including aims and scope of the session followed, by Ms Ulrike **KUNZE**, PT-DLR and Ms Tatjana **KNEZEVIC**, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro. The representative of the EC DG RTD, Ms Joana **NAMORADO** presented the FP7 Health Work Programme. These presentations gave outlook on what was expected as an outcome of the session.

2.1 Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities

Each of the analytical experts involved in the development and preparation of the national background report presented the findings of the consultation process that took place prior to the workshop. In general, these presentations were in line with the recommended structure for the background reports, covering the following aspects:

- legal and strategic framework for RTD in general and implications to health research
- institutional framework
- health research performers
- human resources
- research projects – national and international
- investment (governmental and other) – general (as percentage of GDP), or specific (e.g. on equipment)
- investment – equipment
- publications and patents
- SWOT analysis
- list of priorities (general); priorities based on readiness²; priorities based on future potential³

The presenters were as follows:

² priorities for which the country has the appropriate human resources and research infrastructures in order to pursue research and development

³ priorities considered to be attractive for the country and have future potential. However, the level of readiness and capacity to pursue research and development is currently low.

Mr Genard **HAJDINI** (Institute for Public Health) presented the **Albanian S&T system and priorities**. As Prof Ana **MARUŠIĆ** (University of Split) introduced the participants to the findings of the **Croatian** experts. Ms. Aspazija **SOFIJANOVA** presented the health research landscape in **FYR of Macedonia**. Dr Lul **RAKA** presented the report for **Kosovo**⁴, and Prof. Dr Bogdan **ASANIN**, Dean of the Faculty of Medicine, presented the **Montenegrin** findings. Dr Olgica **DJAKOVIC-DJURKOVIC** presented the report of **Serbia**. The analytical expert in charge of the national background report for **Bosnia and Herzegovina** had to cancel his participation, due to urgent matters that occurred in the country, so the report was not presented during the workshop. However, the background report was available to the participants prior to the workshop, and other members of the Bosnian delegation took actively part in the discussions that followed.

It became obvious that many similarities between the Western Balkan countries (WBC) exist, e.g. the countries are all in transition, share difficulties with research equipment, face brain-drain and fluctuation of investment into research.

As for the overarching research strategies they are either adopted, or in the adoption phase. Sectoral strategies are developed, but in some cases they do not address research. In most of the countries, health research is recognized as a priority field; however, no dedicated programmes to this specific field of research exist.

The experience in international research programmes varies from country to country. Some of the countries (Croatia, Serbia) are participating in EC FP more than others. Moreover, these two already have experience as project coordinators, which can be considered as a rather important achievement.

With respect to the number of and the quality of scientific publications, there is still room for improvement in the region, but again, some of the WBC are more advanced than the others. Some of the WBC have also problems with providing valid and reliable statistical data, which made the preparatory work of the experts difficult.

2.2 Discussion on joint priorities and recommendations for joint generic activities

Each of the presenters was asked to define the most important priorities.

⁴ Under UNSCR 1244

Country priorities

	Albania		BIH		Croatia		FYRMacedonia		Kosovo*		Montenegro		Serbia	
Oncology	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Cardiovascular	X		X		X	x	X		X	x	X		X	
Public Health	X	x	X	x	X		X		X					
Mental /Neuro	X		X	x	X				X				X	
Infection	X		X		X		X		X		X		X	
Genetics/MB					X	x	X	x		x		x	X	x
Pharma							X			x			X	x
Biotechnology		x		x	X	x						x		
IT-Network		x				x		x				x		

*Under UN SCR 1244

Table 1: list of priorities based on the country reports

One of the main aims of the session was to identify research priorities that would be in line with those of FP7. However, it became obvious during the discussion that not all participants have same knowledge on FP7, its goals and opportunities, which could be also explained by the different level of participation in previous FPs. Some of them have been actively participating since FP5, mostly as so-called `3rd countries` (e.g. Serbia), while others are just getting involved into the programme.

One part of the discussion was aimed at exchange of experience, suggesting the best models for participation and modes of getting involved into the programme. Many useful suggestions and conclusions can be drawn from this part.

The EC representative, Ms Joana **NAMORADO** informed that until that point there had been at least 5 or 6 supported proposals with participants from the region, which can be considered as a success. For a start it makes sense to participate as a project partner of a larger EU project, and not as coordinator. It is important to participate, and there should be no hesitations in trying to join consortia. Prospective participants from the region should try and find partners (via CORDIS, through their national Programme Committee members, etc.).

It is crucial for the WBC to understand that their ideas can be incorporated into larger projects. In addition, initial failure should not be discouraging. It often happens that a project idea, improved and modified, only gets funded in the third attempt, or it can even take longer.

However, the question that can be raised is that if including partners from the Western Balkan region can actually jeopardize the success of the project. It was explained that sometimes consortia considered as `strong` can actually prove not to be successful (e.g. including several Nobel prize winners within one consortium). On the other hand, including partners with different level of expertise and experience can have good results, but it is crucial to convince the evaluators that one particular partner is excellent for the task and can carry it out. It was pointed out that, e.g., within FP6, applicants were encouraged to include partners from this region, but now this is not the case any more.

Since FP7 Health theme mainly dedicates funds for research and not infrastructure or capacities, it is important to explore other possibilities (i.e. financial sources) within FP7, for example `Capacities` and `Marie Curie` specific programmes (there are still no capacities within the region for `Ideas`, since it is dealing with frontier research), especially since there is a clear signal from the Commission that targeted calls for WBC are not planned. `Capacities` is important, it provides support to development of human capacities and funds infrastructure. More importantly, it provides possibilities to the Western Balkan Countries to participate as coordinators (e.g. in REGPOT call – Croatia already has successful projects with health research institutions involved). `Marie Curie` activities are useful, providing the basis for new projects, facilitates establishment of strong partnerships. Participation in networks, such as NoE or smaller one, is also encouraged.

The participants are also strongly encouraged to become evaluators of FP7 projects. The application procedure is not complex, and it is very good for gaining experience. Also, the evaluation provides the experts with insight knowledge on proposals, proposal writing, and has a positive impact on project proposal writing skills.

Moreover, several other mechanisms that support research in Europe and are more fit for less experienced countries, were mentioned. E.g. COST, is considered to be an exceptionally interesting instrument used to construct ERA. Lead by a subject, on a national level, it gives rise to one of the big projects. TEMPUS programme is another programme that should be considered. Beside these, research ministries of EU countries often dedicate resources to WBC (e.g. in Austria, targeted at SMEs and universities)

One of the points of the discussion was how the region can actually influence the EC, and FP7 Health Work Programmes. It was explained that the Commission actually develops the work programmes based on inputs from different groups, such as Programme Committee members, or, in case of Health, IMI (Innovative Medicine Initiative) ideas are taken into consideration. The ideas are proposed as so-called Expression of Interests (EoI). However, it is crucial to develop a strong network that would propose and support the idea to the European Commission. As one of the suggestions was to work through the *liaison offices* in

Brussels, invite EC representatives to the events they organise, or, use the opposite approach – make national events and also invite the Commission. Conferences in the field are another way of establishing contacts that can be the beginning of future success stories within FP. Usually these conferences leave space for working groups, where participants of different stakeholders work together, the conclusions are drafted and topics are made up. Usually NCPs have lists of these meetings for some period in advance.

It is important to know about the cycle and timing of Work Programme (WP) preparations. There are usually three phases of setting up a WP:

- 1) collecting ideas
- 2) preparation of the draft
- 3) adoption – inter-service consultation

Programme Committee gives formal opinion, later adopted by the Commission. Only after that the call is published.

External consultation also takes place, taking different aspects into consideration, e.g. input on more horizontal issues (INCO, SMEs needs, cross-thematic areas), bottom-up parts (Capacities, Ideas and People)

Apart from already mentioned ones, the Commission also consults other stakeholders, such as Advisory Group (consisting of MS representatives and some AC members as well; it has a strategic role), Technology Platforms and others.

Usually, the process of developing work programme starts in autumn, adopting takes place the following spring, and the calls are usually published in July. The cycle of setting-up a WP has to be taken into consideration when trying to propose a topic.

Giving the input, it is crucial that the text describing a topic is in line with the programme. The same topic is usually not funded twice. Equally important are good justifications for proposing, European added value, existing political debates, council conclusions, etc.

What should also be taken into consideration is, if the needs and themes addressed actually contain real research projects, or if they refer more to application, and to differentiate if the needs are more appropriate for the opportunities provided by DG RTD or DG SANCO (in charge of public health). E.g. identified problems related to **cardio-vascular diseases** are more to be addressed by public health activities than through research. Also, synergies between these should be explored, e.g. “cross-border health care: development of the appropriate methodology for implementation” is a topic to be addressed by DG RTD, whereas necessary legal measures would be addressed by DG SANCO. There are no restrictions to applying within different programmes.

From Table 2 it seems that regional priorities are to a large extent in line with the FP7 priorities. It is then important to define what the regional specificities are and what the region can contribute, i.e. where the regional excellence lies.

Many common issues are identified: all the WBCs are small and in transition. They lack infrastructure and human capacities in case of clinical trials, and there is a need for training of professionals in translational research. Other possibilities for cooperation were also mentioned:

- Cancer registry – in some countries of the region it has already been established, in some not. However, this topic was supported under FP6. It is very unlikely that the topic will come up again as such. The countries can try and network, and try docking to already ongoing projects.
- Translational medicine in oncology is needed the most. Europe lacks this knowledge. Training professionals in translational medicine and improving clinical trials is important. Socio-economic conditions reflect the health, and the specific question would be whether the wars and crises have influenced it in the region. E.g. Croatia is leading in prostate cancer in Europe. Child oncology is also important and identified as a problem in the region.
- Stroke is another big problem, at both EU and regional levels. There is a network of 14 countries dealing with this issue, but none from the WB is included. It would be advisable to collaborate with the existing network and complement the initiative. An Expression of Interest on it can be written and forwarded to the Commission. There are no unified guidelines in Europe for early detection of stroke.
- Harmonized Western Balkans bio bank – can be a big platform to get into FP projects. There are also many other merging projects.
- Emerging food and waterborne zoonoses (zoonotic infections) – ‘politically correct’ and justified by: existing human potential; existing cases; water resources; EoI has to have statistics, a person to put the statistics together and write it down.
- Hepatitis A, B, C, E (emerging) – first, it has to be solved whether it can be considered as an infectious or a public health issue. It can be: chronic inflammatory, infectious, or viral. In the WBC, each country can contribute with its expertise (Bosnia and Herzegovina – epidemiology, Croatia – research and vaccine development, etc.)
- Mental health issues are addressed through a lot of research activities, e.g. those investigating the relation between mental health, cancer, cardiovascular disease (ageing, endocrinology, etc.). There was a similar call in FP6 in 2002 – but different phrasing is needed at the moment. Results of the previous activities should be checked, and larger consortium made. After that, the EC should be addressed. War in WBC and related consequences could be used as a model, but should not be restricted to that case only, in order it to have a European dimension. A perfectly studied, well documented proposal, can be taken into consideration (e.g. relation made to the occurrence of similar events, e. g. September 11, Baskas, Israel and Palestine)
- Other joint problems mentioned were: transparency of clinical trials; visibility of PhD thesis caused by lack of publishing in the WBC.

Priority	Specification	Specific WBC interest	Comment
----------	---------------	-----------------------	---------

Oncology	Cancer registries (topic from FP6 revisited)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lack and improvement 	
	Translational medicine (training of professionals)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Countries in transition 	
Cardiovascular diseases	Stroke research (early detection guidelines)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Harmonise guidelines for early detection as a model case 	Existing network on stroke
Priority	Specification	Specific WBC interest	Comment
Public Health	Prevention of disease and risk factors, specific epidemiological cases	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transition Post traumatic stress Emerging problems 	
	Emerging food and water borne zoonoses (zoonotic infections), pollution of water (tourists)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Human potential Enough existing cases Water resources Nutritional value 	
Mental Health	Long term influence of post traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) on mental health – parallel to terrorist attack cases	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On the model of war 	See FP6 project
Infectious diseases	Epidemiological research on viral Hepatitis A, B, C, E (emerging)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Screening and Status quo Research Vaccine 	

Table 2: Regional research priorities commonly agreed on by the WBC

Since the session was marked as a good opportunity to establish new contacts, it was also suggested that more networking meetings should be enabled and a database of possible partnering institutions provided. This is of crucial importance for those countries that have not appointed their NCP yet. The ongoing thematic NCP networking project provides possibilities for staff exchange, capacity building, and networking.

Recommendations for generic activities

- Improvement of clinical trials
- Emerging food and waterborne diseases and zoo noses
- Develop cancer registers within the region
- Harmonize the guidelines for early detection of stroke
- Antibiotic resistance

- Intervention mapping for reduction, change in behaviour
- Give new life to the existing networks (e.g. on infectious diseases)
- Update list of existing networks
- Use NCPs (policy, capacity building, networking, resource pool of contacts)
- Coordination of activities
- Use existing data bases with researchers profile, harmonize data bases
- Create groups of experts based on the topics (e.g. CANCER). NCPs should be instrumental
- Common institute in public health

What can WBC-INCO.NET do? Give input to the Work Programme, the website can be used as a promotional tool, organize a brokerage event on health.

The importance of priority setting was emphasised. The SCORE project was taken as a successful example of a similar exercise and an important experience. Researchers should have a basic know-how on how to write project proposals, get used to the topics identified, and find areas where to write proposals.

2.3 Presentations by invited experts

2.3.1 Cooperation possibilities in the field of Health

Mrs Marta Barrionuevo HAFNER presented the Health NCP network and FP7 work programmes, speaking of possibilities within the project, as well as on the structure and the development of FP7 Work Programmes.

2.3.2 Public Health Research in the Western Balkan Countries

Mr Helmut **BRAND** (Maastricht University) presented a public health network for SEE, the main aim of which was to provide training in the field of public health in the region. The training activities have been completed, and now the research component needs to be addressed. The idea is therefore to create a Public health research network for SEE. Existing research challenges are: lack of funds, lack of expertise, and lack of valid data. Since all these need improvement, the strong network of national public health institutions should be made.

2.3.3 Bio banking for biomedical research

Ms Karine **SARGSYAN** (University of Graz) explained the importance of Bio banking for biomedical research, showing the example of the one she is working on (University of Graz – project coordinator, with the best know-how). What is interesting for the region is that 3 projects with SEE are planned. All invited, and kick-off paid by the department. Existing bio banks can be harmonized.

2.3.4 SEE health network

Mr Alban **YLLI** presented the SEE Health network, that started since the Stability Pact, with all countries from the region participating.

3 Consultation session on Transport: key issues and discussion

[The agenda and list of participants are provided in Annex 2](#)

The participants were welcomed by Mr Ammar **MIRASCIJA**, representative of the Ministry of Civil Affairs of Bosnia and Herzegovina, and FP7 national coordinator. and by Mr Andreas **KAHLE** from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, representative of the WP leader. In order to provide guidelines for the discussions that were ahead, the participants were introduced to the WBC-INCO.NET project and its WP2 as well as to the aims and the scope of the session (Mrs Ulrike **KUNZE**, PT-DLR, Ms Tatjana **KNEZEVIC**, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro), after which Mr Karsten **KRAUSE** from DG RTD presented the FP7 Transport Work Programme.

During a *tour de table* the participants introduced themselves.

3.1 Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities

The second part of the morning session and the first in the afternoon were dedicated to the findings of the consultation process that took place in the period prior to the workshop, and resulted in the development of the national background reports. These findings were presented by the analytical experts involved in the development and preparation of these reports.

The presentations mostly followed the structure of the reports, therefore providing data on:

- legal and strategic framework for RTD in general and implications to transport research
- institutional framework
- transport research performers
- human resources
- research projects – national and international
- investment (governmental and other) – general (as percentage of GDP), or specific (e.g. on equipment)
- investment – equipment
- publications and patents
- SWOT analysis
- list of regional research priorities; priorities based on readiness⁵; priorities based on future potential⁶

⁵ priorities for which the country has the appropriate human resources and research infrastructures in order to pursue research and development

⁶ priorities considered to be attractive for the country and have future potential. However, the level of readiness and capacity to pursue research and development is currently low.

The presenters were as follows: Mr Ali **DEDEJ** for Albania; Mr Sasa **DZUMHUR** for Bosnia and Herzegovina; Prof. Dr Ivica **SMOJVER** (Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture) for Croatia; Dr Ramadan **DURAKU** (Faculty of Mechanical Engineering) for Kosovo⁷, Dr Danilo **NIKOLIC** (Faculty of Mechanical Engineering) for Montenegro, and MSc Radosav **JOVANOVIC** (Faculty of Transport) for Serbia.

There were no representatives of the FYR of Macedonia present at the meeting, but the priorities they provided through their national background report were taken into consideration during discussions

Similar to the case of the Health theme, the WBC share some of the problems, but also differ in many aspects. Their level of involvement into international research programmes varies from almost negligible to considerable. Furthermore, some of the countries suffer a lack of national transport research programmes and in general do not support a large number of transport research projects.

3.2 Discussion on joint priorities and recommendations for joint generic activities

ALBANIA	BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master plan for the development of commercial, tourist and fish ports along Albanian shore; • Study on the inventory of the road network at the all Albanian regions and districts; • Urban plan of traffic and signaling for cities municipalities with a population more then 20 thousand inhabitants; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road Traffic Safety Improvement • Mitigation of Environmental Impacts • Improvement of Urban Mobility • Intermodal Transport Development • Transport Infrastructure Management • Traffic Management
CROATIA	FYR OF MACEDONIA
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological aspects of transport (e.g. noise, pollution) - Environmentally Sustainable Transport • Safety of maritime structures regarding ecological aspects • Advanced materials and structures engineering in the means of transport • Ballast waters management in closed seas • Intermodal transport and harmonization of various modes of transport with final goal of full integration into European transport area • Traffic control, security and safety in urban areas • Advanced design methodology in the development of new means of transport • Integration of maritime and inland harbors • Shipbuilding for inland waterways • Information and communication 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Road Traffic: enhancing international competitiveness of road traffic to effectively cope with foreign competition, enhancing the safety of road traffic, and limiting the harmful impact of road traffic on the environment to an optimal level; • Railway Traffic: enhancing the international competitive position of the railway; • Air Traffic: integration of the FY Republic of Macedonia into the single air-transport market, and effective regulation of service provision in air traffic. • Development of combined transport and multimodal transport terminals. • Investigation the possibility for PPP (public private partnership) in the transport sector • Improvement of the PT (public transport) in the biggest towns of the country

⁷ Under UNSCR 1244

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • technologies in intelligent transport systems • <i>Acquis Communautaire</i> in transport • Research on increasing efficiency and reliability of maritime and inland navigation 	
<p>KOSOVO⁸</p>	<p>MONTENEGRO</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulation of traffic with emphasizes in urban zones, • Traffic Safety, • Mobility and traffic urban plans, • Maintenance and development of traffic infrastructure, • Traffic planning and designing, • Stationary traffic including buses and bus terminals, • Traffic management, • Traffic logistics, • Road traffic and circulation capacity, • Technology systems in integral and inter-modal transport, • Development of railway transport, • Upgrade and quality level of air navigation, • Impact of traffic in environment, • Development of transport legal infrastructure in compliance with <i>acqius communaitare</i>. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research on traffic safety. • Research on transport originated emissions of pollutants and noise. • Research on sustainable urban mobility, developing new mobility schemes in cities, which rationalise the use of the private car, promote public transport and conceive innovative non-polluting urban vehicles. • Research on alternative motor fuels. Integration of alternative motor fuels into the transport system, particularly into clean urban transport, the cost-effective and safe production, storage, and distribution of alternative motor fuels, the optimal utilisation of alternative fuels in new concepts of energy efficient vehicles, strategies and tools to manage the market transformation process for alternative motor fuels. • Research on modal shift and decongesting transport corridors - promoting the use of cleaner transportation modes and eliminating extra pollution caused by traffic congestion. • Research on ballast waters management in closed seas.
<p>SERBIA</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transport network-related R&D activities <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Optimal combinations of different modes (optimodality), aiming at efficient and seamless transport chains (especially for transit). Different combinations of modes may be investigated in WB environment: e.g. rail/road or air/rail/road in case of passenger transport; rail/ road or water/rail/road for freight transport; 2. Intelligent transport systems, encompassing all transport modes: rail, road, water and air transport; 3. Supply chain management including terminal processes; 4. Charging schemes for use of transport infrastructure. • Vehicle/vessel-related R&D activities 	

⁸ Under UNSCR 1244

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Development of small aircraft; 2. Development of river and river-sea ships; 3. Experimental testing including technical and navigational characteristics and propulsion of loaded ships and pushed convoys, to increase the efficiency of river traffic. 	
---	--

Table 3: Regional research priorities of each of the WBC, based on national background reports

Within the presentation on the FP7 Transport theme, it was firstly stated that so far, transport researchers from the region were mostly involved in CSA. In accordance with that, the long-term objective should be to take up research projects. In that view, it is important to build cross-regional lines (e.g. with Greece or Italy). An EC internal survey shown that in the region, research potential is identified in the area of **transport safety** (sea shipping, infrastructure and maintenance, rail). Transport themes can often be found under different calls. In that sense, NCPs should be consulted.

As for the statistics on participation of the WBC in the FP Transport research so far, it turned out to be unreliable to some extent. Namely, it shows full partners only, but often there are institutes that become part of `umbrella partners`. So, it is difficult to present that in the official statistics. One of the indicators is that they are shown in audits.

Within the European Commission, the low success rate from SEE region was highly debated, but it was commonly agreed that it is not only a problem of these countries – it is also typical for EU countries. Most of the coordinators with partners from the WBC are from `old` EU countries, so the low success rate applies to all.

Also, when speaking of coordinators, it was stated that success usually depends on the leader, and it was suggested to choose promising leaders. In that respect, again NCPs and their transnational cooperation should be utilised in discovering experienced coordinators. In the near future, 2-day brokerage events with 500-600 participants – from Europe and International Cooperation Partner Countries (ICPC) are organised in Brussels, accompanying active calls.

In spite of the above mentioned, some of the participants pointed out that there still exist difficulties searching the partners, which result in a couple of additional problems: countries from the region are Associated Countries to the FP7. When uploading profiles on CORDIS, researchers find that there are many EU institutions already there, more experienced and with better expertise, which immediately reduces opportunities to WBC institutions to be contacted for possible joining consortia. Many EU partners submitted proposals within a joint call of the `Security` and `Transport` themes,. All the proposals were very high level, so the themes were not applicable to the WBC. The comment was that the themes should be reviewed, and made more adaptable to the less-developed countries.

It was also pointed out that there are plans to improve CORDIS and to make it more useful and user-friendly. Partners can also be found and identified for example via Technology Platforms. Also, it is planned to develop a dedicated partner search for the sector under the ETNA (Ethernet Transport Network Architecture) project. Another problem is that in the Transport theme there are not many large players, and the whole sector is less dynamic when compared to, e.g. ICT. Regarding the finding of topics for the EU calls, it is dealt with at the Transport Committee meetings. All transport research players are allowed to submit proposals. It is up to the region's ability to coordinate joint activities and to lobby for the topics of mutual interest. The competition of topics and funding requires a lot of work.

It was underlined that it is crucial for the WBC to send representatives to the Programme Committee meetings as many of them do not. It has to be clear that participating in those meetings and lobbying does not necessarily bring success, but nevertheless it is a prerequisite to get familiar with the procedures.

It is also important to establish a good communication between NCPs and PC members and the research community. When one becomes a member of the PC, the first problem he/she faces is to understand the language, terminology, and in general, to know about the procedures. It is assumed that getting familiar with the procedures related to PC takes about a year. Sometimes it takes time to establish ways to transfer information (e.g. in Zagreb efforts are being made to establish a link where information can be found, with two types of data: `classified section`, and `public info`. That would make information available to everyone). Cooperation within the country is crucial. In some member states there is a lack of communication between Transport NCP, FP coordinator and PC member, which reduces the success of work. Therefore, national networks should be established.

Another idea was that it would be useful to see the evaluation reports from the previous calls, to see how the evaluators are evaluating, which will provide guidelines on how to write a proposal. The logical solution to that is to become an evaluator, especially since the procedure is quite simple. When a call is published and applications are submitted, well defined selection criteria are followed for choosing evaluators: type of projects, region, and experts from EU-27. About 100 evaluators are chosen per call. There are two forms of evaluation – in Brussels and remote. Per diems are rather high, and one also learns on how to write project proposals.

Apart from FP7, instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance (IPA) was mentioned as additional funding source. There are two types of IPA support – Multi-beneficiary (support to regional initiatives) and National. Researchers should contact their Senior Programming Officers (SPOs) in state institutions, since they are in charge of giving input to the Multiannual Indicative Planning Document (MIPD), because it is a prerequisite to have transport mentioned in it as one of the priorities in order to be able to submit proposals.

Starting the discussion on regional research priorities it was observed that looking through all proposals, divided by the nature and geography, it can be concluded that some themes are important only to some of the countries. The logical question would be if it is of high

priority to have consensus on topics. It was clarified that at least half of the countries should agree on one theme to be a priority to them. Also, it should be considered that all FP7 topics have to have a European impact. What would be important then is to refine the priorities within a general priority to finally arrive at specific topics. Based on existing infrastructure and human capacities, each country defines the types of projects it can participate in.

Another concern of the experts was that, if the region wants to go along the lines of FP7 priorities such as `green car` and `clean sky`, these are not the themes where the region is competitive. That puts another perspective to the aim of the session and to the definition of research topics jointly agreed upon by the WBC. One of the possible proposals to streamline this priority setting is the **transport treaty** – currently under negotiation, and to be signed by the end of the year by all the countries. So, the suggestion was to try and make the priorities in line with those mentioned in the treaty.

Environment related issues are another problem that is common to the region, as well as infrastructure issues. **Noise maps and proposals on noise and pollution management** are possible joint topics for the region as well.

However, it is not certain that these can be considered under FP7. Even though there is a long list of potential topics, it should be checked if the suggested topics have already been supported, because then they cannot be funded again. Another issue is lobbying and finding an appropriate mode to promote them later, and convince research performers outside the region that they should take them aboard.

A series of other concerns arose. First, the possibility to influence the Transport WP 2010 is rather low, perhaps only by providing details. Next, if these topics are taken into consideration, it is not clear how relevant they are for EC. In the end, it was concluded that the identified regional research priorities can be used as input to the Transport WP 2011 WP.

Another question is if there are other possibilities to fund these proposals, especially since there are important problems in the region that are not strictly related to research, which makes them out of the FP7 scope. Again, the IPA programme and its Multi-beneficiary component could be an opportunity, as well as the Programmes Competitiveness and Innovation Programme (CIP), INTERREG (EC initiative aimed at stimulating interregional cooperation) and others. It is crucial to find an appropriate approach to apply under each of these programmes.

1.Impact of surface transport on environment and safety	a. Coastal seas b. Inland waterways c. Railways d. roads
2.Advanced materials and structures engineering for safer and greener means of transport	
3.Passenger and freight intermodal transport and optimal use of various transport modes	
4.Application of advanced simulations in transport systems	
5.Application of ICT in intelligent transport systems	

Table 4: Research priorities of the WBC in the field of Transport

Recommendations for generic activities/strategic activities:

- Make (better) use of NCPs
- Information dissemination on FP participation
- Sustainable regional network of experts/institutions/projects: data base, internet portal
- Use and update WBC-INCO.NET national background reports for data base (refinement)
- Western Balkan Research Conference
- Continue the network of consultation session
- Nominate several persons as NCPs with one coordinator
- Joint PhD studies
- Regional (virtual) supporting office (logistic) funded by WBC governments (?); rather at national level (Serbian model)
- Define common and specific regional research priorities

However, despite the somewhat sceptical view of actual benefits from trying to influence the FP7 WP, the session in Sarajevo was marked highly as an opportunity to make initial contacts, meet people, thus providing basis for future cooperation. All the countries can benefit from such activities, because there are institutions with sufficient capacities in each of the countries that can already now start working on developing joint initiatives. These kind of events are a good starting point for more research institutions in the region, on their way to joining the big project consortia.

Possible role of **WBC-INCO.NET** is to either directly through project activities provide input to the EC and in that way assist the WBC, or through PC members from EU countries, enable that they speak in one voice at PC meetings.

3.3 Presentations of invited experts

3.3.1 ETNA project

Dr Katarina **TZITZINO** (PRAXI / HELP-FORWARD Network, FING) presented the ETNA project, a European transport NCP networking project. She described the composition and method of appointment of NCPs, as well as their activities, aimed at advising, assisting and training activities. It is intended to help NCPs around Europe to work better together. There are 14 main participants, but it is not only for NCPs from EU countries, institutions from Associated Countries can participate as well.

3.3.2 ERA-NET TRANSPORT II

Mr Walter **WASNER** from the Austrian Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology presented the ERA.NET Transport II, which is aimed at coordination of governmental bodies in charge of transport research. The Era-Net Transport II is running until 2010, with currently 14 partners from 11 countries, and the whole field of surface transport is covered. There are still possibilities for involving new partners, which can be a chance for the WBC transport research. It is not a prerequisite to become a full partner in ERA.NET Transport II, an observer status is also possible.

ERA-NETs show that only a fragment of EU research funds is in the FP. The larger part is at national level, so the coordination between these two and bringing responsible ones to coordinate activities are necessary. So, countries outside the EU should also understand that it still depends a lot on their own national funding, and that it is crucial for each country to develop its own capacities, without relying too much on FP7. Also, there are plenty of other opportunities for countries to cooperate in research, besides this programme.

He finally stated: "Don't get too lulled into the FP7 Programme, but develop your own capacities. There are other possibilities to cooperate".

3.3.3 Examples for a Technology Platform (ERRAC) and a FP7 Project (TransNEW)

Mr Dennis SCHUT first presented two projects, TRANSSLO (completed) and TransNEW that was based on TRANSSLO.

ERRAC: Since FP4, countries were involved in Programme Committees, but, since FP6, the idea was to form a European Technology Platform. Its role is to advise the EC on transport research, especially on calls for proposals. Most of the proposals they made were included. An important fact is that the platform is open to everyone and further partners are welcome to participate. There even exists a small budget for experts.

TRANSSLO: mapping the transport research potential in Slovenia. At the time of Slovenian EU accession, new MS had a very low participation rate in the FP. Therefore, the support programme in Slovenia and awareness raising activities then started to develop. A Slovenian national transport research area was created.

TransNEW: CSA, aimed at new MS and AC. Among the WBC, Serbia is the only one included for the time being, and, being the WP leader, the Serbian partner also included one of the institutions from Bosnia and Herzegovina. The project covers all transport modes, including aeronautics. It will assess transport research capabilities, foster cross-border cooperation, and create databases of expertise. They should work closely with WBC-INCO.NET to avoid duplication of efforts and to complement each other. A mapping exercise on the research potential in the participating countries will take place. The contract is not signed yet, and it can be expected that more partners are possibly included. The expected starting date of TransNEW is September 1, 2009.

3.3.4 Initiatives such as FEHRL, ERTRAC and the Construction Technology Platform

Mr Steve **PHILIPS** presented these different initiatives, as well as other smaller projects, that involve partner from the region (CERTAIN, SPENS, ARCHES).

4 Consultation session on Environment: key issues and discussion

[The agenda and list of participants are provided in Annex 3](#)

The consultation session on Environment was held in Skopje, FY Republic of Macedonia on June 16 and 17, 2009.

The participants were welcomed by Ms Snezana **BILIC-SOTIROSKA**, Head of Sector of Science and Technological Development in the Macedonian Ministry of Education and Science, and Mr Andreas **KAHLE** from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, representative of the WP leader. The participants introduced themselves to each other during *tour de table*.

Mrs Ulrike **KUNZE**, PT-DLR and Ms Tatjana **KNEZEVIC**, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro gave an introduction to the WBC-INCO.NET project and its WP2, as well as to the aims and the scope of the session. The representative of the EC DG RTD, Mr Nikolas **CHRISTOFORIDES**, presented the FP7 Environment Work Programme. These presentations gave an outlook of what was expected as an outcome of the session.

4.1 Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities

The analytical experts involved in the development of the national background reports presented the findings of the consultation process that took place prior to the workshop. In general, these presentations were in line with the recommended structure of the background reports, therefore covering the following aspects:

- legal and strategic framework for RTD in general and implications to health research
- institutional framework
- health research performers
- human resources
- research projects – national and international
- investment (governmental and other) – general (as percentage of GDP), or specific (e.g. on equipment)
- investment – equipment
- publications and patents
- SWOT analysis
- list of priorities (general); priorities based on readiness; priorities based on future potential

4.2 Discussion on joint priorities and recommendations for joint generic activities

Albania	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Croatia	FYR of Macedonia	Kosovo ⁹	Montenegro	Serbia
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • marine and coastal protection, control and monitoring • climate change • Sustainable management of natural resources and biodiversity conservation • Waste waters and solid waste management • Environmental technologies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Climate change – reflection on environment and economy • Biodiversity and Ecosystems Management • Water Resources Management • Land use • Species control and management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainable management of resources • Environmental technologies • Climate change 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cleaner production • Environmental technologies • Climate change • Cultural heritage • Solid waste management and recycling • Integrated water management • Sustainable management of resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Climate change • pollution and risks • Environment and health • Sustainable management of natural and man-made resources • Environmental technologies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • biodiversity • cross-border ecosystems • climate change • marine ecosystems • sustainable resources management • cleaner production 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental technologies • Developing materials for environmental protection • Climate change • Cultural heritage • Energy efficiency • Monitoring and waste management • Biodiversity

Table 5: Research priorities of the WBC in the field of environment taken from their national background

In total, 6 regional research priorities in the field of Environment were commonly agreed upon:

- Biodiversity
- Climate change
- Sustainable management of natural resources
- Cleaner production/Environmental technologies
- Ecosystems (cross border and marine)
- Cultural heritage

As initial input to the discussion, it is explained that through all regional meetings that were supported through FP, the successful ones eventually had topics incorporated in the WP. E.g. a call will be published at the end of July 2009, dedicated to Africa, based on a similar meeting carried out a few years ago. , the region should provide its priorities, taking into consideration EC policy actions and find a common policy ground. The purpose of research is to advance knowledge. An interdisciplinary approach is always considered as an added value.

⁹ Under UNSCR 1244

In the field of environment, there are different needs to respond to and different questions to answer, e.g. climate change and its consequences. One of the objectives is to be proactive, see future problems and start research now (reduction of CO₂ emissions by 2% in Greece is a result of research). If one starts from the moment an idea is initialised, it will then take 5 years for it to be implemented.

It often happens that teams are dealing at the same time with environment and security. Many topics are to be dedicated to security, and not only to political security. Often topics for environment are to be found under different Themes, not only that particular one (Health, Food, Nanomaterials and how they can be used in water treatment).

It was observed that finding synergies with the Commission is not the only thing that has to be done. It is actually much more important to try and coordinate different actors at national level. It is necessary to provide better connections between research and industry sectors. Research should be part of each sectoral strategy.

In order to provide broader picture, another question is brought up – the purpose of prioritising. We have to prioritise based on the strengths and relevance. One should always bear in mind that FP is difficult to enter; it is not the only fund, though important and useful. There are also national budgets, IPA, CARDS, donors, bilateral.

However, when defining the priorities, a country should start from its strengths. In the region, there are not always reliable data on excellence. Publications and citations are traditional methods of measuring it, but these data are still lacking in some of the countries. In general, their number is still low in the region as a whole. Another way of measuring excellence is participation in international programmes. Next, existence of spin-offs also shows excellence.

In funding research, the process of moving from institutional to performance based funding is initialised according to different indicators. They can be negotiated (citationa, projects, spin-offs). It is a way of improving research.

One of the proposals was to define the Balkan targets and priorities in accordance with the existing EU directives. There should be a strong connection between the possibilities provided between the two DGs ENV and RTD, and base the approach on that.

The presentations show that a considerable amount of work has been done, a lot of information and knowledge accumulated. Strategic impact should be defined and emphasis given to the areas where progress can be expected. Starting from this, emphasis should be put on development prospects, then problems, and then priorities. The research component should have an innovation element, especially since this is going to be addressed by future calls (e.g. innovative system solutions for municipal sludge systems and management). It is important to arrive to topics well defined with innovative elements inside, and determined where they fit into the overall concept. Integrated and cross-cutting projects (soil and water, biodiversity, forestry) can be a solution that fits the needs. The EC always positively

observes intentions to include the region of the Western Balkans into European research. However, it should be considered what can be done without geographical specification, since the countries are now equal members to the programme, and no SICAs are foreseen. The challenge is to successfully integrate them into the wider EU context, so when calls are published, WBC should have major strengths to address the issue.

For the definition of sub-topics, the simplest approach is used, since this often proves to be the most efficient. Based on the priorities defined in the reports, working groups are defined in accordance with this selection in order to refine them and define sub-themes. To support that fact, the participants are reminded that the meeting is not a formal part of decision making, even though it will provide input to the actual decision making process.

Each of the groups has a rapporteur, in charge of presenting the findings to the panel:

- a. Biodiversity (Sulejman Redzic)
- b. Climate change (Gordana Stefanovic)
- c. Sustainable management of natural resources (Arsen Proko)
- d. Cleaner production/Environmental technologies (Mira Vukcevic)
- e. Ecosystems (cross border/marine) (Tarzan Legovic)
- f. Cultural heritage (Janja Ranogajec)

The discussion about possible topics in biodiversity was rather fruitful. Biodiversity in the region is rich, and in recent times, in this area more spots were identified as development centres of flora, fauna and spongi. There are a lot of endangered species, communities, ecosystems and landscapes. It is a leading lab where original soil genesis, sea genesis took place. It is the richest region in Europe regarding biodiversity.

A Biodiversity information system of WBC should be improved. The existing data are not sufficient; important strategic documents are also lacking.

The **inventory** is important, using all data (genetic, landscape, diversity), especially to create an inventory for the control of non native species – it is necessary to identify them, and develop methods for their conservation.

Biodiversity is subject to **climate change**. The inventory can be used as a good indicator to monitor the changes.

It is necessary to establish a **gene bank**, especially of genetic resources, since a lot of genetic resources are lost in this region.

Sustainable use of biodiversity is extremely important.

It would be also important to define **protected areas** as a tool of sustainable conservation.

The region provides challenges for all types of experts: marine, fresh water areas, karsts, mountains, etc. It attracts different research institutions and researchers from all the countries.

Another topic is the standardisation of indicators and the development of a methodology to identify hot spots.

Biodiversity should have a functional database for each level and for each country.

b. Climate change, pollution and risks

This topic should be taken into consideration due to several reasons: increase of temperature, annual precipitation, consequences to human health, energy efficiency changes.

Consequences of climate change on **health**: we are facing a new epidemiological situation in the future, it would therefore be important to perform the **health impact assessment** and develop a **cluster** of environmental related diseases.

Prediction of natural hazards – almost all excluding endogenic are connected to climate change: wild fires, erosion, landslides, etc. what can be done is to **adopt hazard modelling**. The existing models are aimed at insurance companies. Next, models for **chain hazard effects** can be devised (?). Another interesting topic is **multi-hazard** modelling, since it has a wide use, and a real methodology has to be developed.

Also, the global climate change has two sides. Apart from the destructive effects, it will induce good things. With view to that, a proposal on **how we can maximally benefit from the climate change in our countries** can be considered. As obvious benefits, two are mentioned: more rainfall in the north will result in more water, in the south higher temperature and less rain; this provides preconditions for renewable energy (e.g. wider use of solar panels).

c. Sustainable management of natural resources

1. Development of innovative scientific topic quality indicators for specific and sensitive areas (Riparian vegetation)

Serbia, Croatia, Albania, Montenegro, FYR of Macedonia and Kosovo are interested to develop this potential project.

Flat land vegetation (or non zonal vegetation or riparian vegetation) is most sensitive and seriously damaged by human interference without taking in consideration the principles of dynamism and natural development of ecosystems. Some efforts are done by respective governments to recover these areas, sometime planting exotic species, causing often a loss of autochthonous species or biodiversity.

Natural rehabilitation of degraded ecosystems is one of the priorities of environmental policy of the respective countries.

For a successful process of land rehabilitation the establishment of an innovative and scientific monitoring system is one of the most important aspects. On the other hand some peculiarities concerning the vegetation types and geographic characteristics in the respective countries exist, and are interesting to consider.

The Albanian and Kosovo experience is still not adequate and the other countries are using different indicators and not a unified methodology.

Another important aspect is the utilisation of new techniques, equipments, software and scientific analyses.

This innovative monitoring system will be involved in the:

- 1.1. Establishment of unified indicators for the monitoring of water, soil and vegetation.
- 1.2. Establishment of a unified methodology on water and groundwater quality monitoring
- 1.3. Establishment of a unified methodology on soil quality monitoring
- 1.4. Establishment of a unified methodology on vegetation quality monitoring;

2. Development of novel unified methodologies for Sustainable management of terrestrial natural resources

Serbia, Croatia, Albania, Montenegro, Bosnia and Herzegovina, FYR of Macedonia and Kosovo are interested to develop this project.

To manage the terrestrial ecosystems in a sustainable way means to develop without conflicts the natural productive system, the elements of which are: ecosystem, society and economy.

Promoting an integrated manner and sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems in an equitable way is the aim of this potential project.

Based on the qualitative (bad or good) and quantitative (less or much) factors, which affect the sustainable management of the natural productive system, a unified statistical (multivariate) analysis, encompassing ecosystems, economic and social factors, has to be established and used in order to identify the best managerial alternative, based on area conditions and community needs.

Another innovative aspect of this potential project will be the use of vegetation type (Braun Blanquet *sensu strictu*) as management unit and land use planning.

The main issues to be addressed are:

2.1. An ecosystem-based approach, whereby activities affecting the terrestrial environment will be managed in an integrated manner promoting conservation (long-term objective) and sustainable use (e.g. periodic planning of fisheries) in an equitable way for terrestrial ecosystems.

2.2. An ecosystem-based approach focusing on anthropogenic impacts especially in vulnerable areas.

2.3. A knowledge-based approach, in order to achieve a better connection to policy-making.

2.4 Development of integrated resources based on improved understanding of complex human-environment interactions in vulnerable region.

2.5 Identification of reference sites and detection of hot spots like soil, surface and ground water contamination as well as air pollution in most endangered areas.

2.6 Determination of the pollution emission and listing of pollution emitters in the area.

3. Forests of Dinarides: environmental conditions, diversity and stability

Forests are one of the most important natural resources of South-East Europe, with very high environmental factors (e.g. water purification, carbon sinks) and economic meaning (e.g. forestry, tourism).

Dinarides are a particular geographical region with very important biodiversity aspects as the presence of endemic, rare and endangered species, high ecological diversity and other environmental aspects.

They are of a very high scientific importance for the identification of:

- 1) different historical approaches to forest management,
- 2) spatial inhomogeneities in human population density,
- 3) influences of recent war (especially in excessive exploitation of wood) and
- 4) different exposure to air pollution from distant sources.

The aims of the proposed research are:

- 1) mapping spatial distributions of forest types and dominant tree species diversity in the entire area of Dinarides,
- 2) estimating spatial distributions of naturalness and stability of these forests and
- 3) explaining these distributions as a function of environmental factors.

This research will be methodologically based on:

- 1) remote sensing (e.g. aerophotogrametric images with high spatial resolution, time-series of satellite images with high temporal resolution) which will be used for recognition of spatial patterns,
- 2) optimized field sampling (e.g. tree species populations, parameters of forest health status) which will be used as ground truth information,

3) raster-GIS techniques (e.g. DEM-based modelling) which will be used for processing of spatial data and

4) environmental modelling techniques (e.g. multivariate statistics, neural networks) which will be used for data analysis and building of prediction models.

4. Cleaner production/Environmental technologies

This topic should be dealing with the main problems in environmental technologies in general:

- **wastes** (recycling and re-use),
- **waste waters** and
- **emissions**

First of all, it is important to stress the significant multilateral and cross-border dimension of all proposed issues since it is evident that the whole region has the same problems with thermo-power plants, industrial and agricultural wastes and emissions. Besides that, all countries in the region (Serbia, Croatia, FYR of Macedonia, Montenegro, and Albania) have expressed their interest to deal with aforementioned issues.

The same problems are shared, and possibilities concerning the human resources and existing infrastructure are almost equal. The specific heritage the countries share from the past (in the terms of large but inefficient industrial premises and a lot of by-products that can be re-used) lead to the conclusion that efficient multilateral teams in this field can be built up.

Methods and techniques that might be used are not "super innovative", but what is innovative in this proposals is the approach of re-use going together with pollution matters.

Environmental techniques are always considered as more applied than basic research, which might be the main disadvantage of the priority, but anyhow it exists in the interest of many countries in the region as the pure reality.

In the end, the proposed topics from this working group would be:

1. Valorisation of waste materials from thermo-power plant as the raw materials in ceramic processing
2. Industrial sludge and mud as the constructive raw materials
3. Valorisation of agriculture wastes as bio-adsorbents in waste water treatment
4. Treatment systems for drinking, surface and waste waters containing active pharmaceutical ingredients and personal care products
5. Treatment of waste waters containing used metal-working fluids
6. Non-metallic materials as the adsorbents in gas emission treatment

5. Ecosystems – marine and terrestrial

Ecosystems

1. Innovative integrated monitoring and modelling

Develop common indicators. New methodology in diagnostics and prediction of ecosystem states. New methodology for sustainable management of ecosystems (freshwaters, marine and terrestrial).

2. Ecosystem based approach

An integrated ecosystem-based approach focused on protection issues of immediate and long-term concerns, exploiting synergy of in situ integrated monitoring, mathematical modelling and remote sensing.

Proposed activities would be: identification of reference sites and detection of hot spots like soil, surface and ground water contamination as well as air pollution in most endangered areas.

For example, in forest ecosystems:

The aims of the proposed research are: 1) mapping spatial distributions of forest types and dominant tree species diversity in the entire area of Dinarides, 2) estimating spatial distributions of naturalness and stability of these forests and 3) explaining these distributions as a function of environmental factors.

This research will be methodologically based on: 1) remote sensing (e.g. aerophotogrametric images with high spatial resolution, time-series of satellite images with high temporal resolution) which will be used for recognition of spatial patterns, 2) optimised field sampling (e.g. tree species populations, parameters of forest health status) which will be used as ground truth information, 3) raster-GIS techniques (e.g. DEM-based modelling) which will be used for processing of spatial data and 4) environmental modelling techniques (e.g. multivariate statistics, neural networks) which will be used for data analysis and building of prediction models.

Besides the understanding of dominant spatial patterns in distribution of forests over the entire area of the Dinarides, comprehensive results of proposed research will enable involved countries to develop and optimize common strategies for the forest management, especially in the fields of environmental risk assessment and sustainable use.

Policy in fisheries has implications on marine ecosystems. It has caused extermination of species in European waters. According to EU estimates, 80% of waters are `overfished`. But, now there is an extinction of fish, due to the lack of an ecosystem approach. The models are for single populations, which is wrong. It should be ecosystem based. It is good to have a model that is being improved from year to year. It has already been done in some cases.

Countries: Montenegro, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Albania, Croatia and Kosovo¹⁰ are ready to participate.

¹⁰ Under UN SCR 1244

6. Cultural heritage

Environmental Hazards in Cultural Heritage - Development of Knowledge Base for Effective Western Balkan Protection Strategy

In recent years, the countries of the Western Balkan have shared serious adverse changes in ambient conditions. Namely the countries have suffered an increase in temperatures, UV radiation, fluctuations of temperature and humidity, increased hours of sunshine, wind, rainfall and cyclic wetting and drying and disastrous floods. The changes occurred across regional borders and included neighbouring states from Slovenia to Serbia (along the river Sava), from Hungary, Croatia and Romania (the Danube and the Tisa), Adriatic coast (Montenegro Croatia and Albania), Ohrid lake (Macedonia and Albania) and Skadarsko lake (Albania and Montenegro). These changes caused enormous damage in built environment and there is still not enough knowledge for adopting a common strategy and policies in prediction, prevention and restoration of the cultural heritage.

Besides economic losses which are extremely high, the immovable Cultural /Architectural Heritage in the broader region has also suffered serious damages.

As the floods cannot be prevented, the Balkans will have to face further accidents due to the change of climate.

Taking into account the research data and experience in different fields of investigations of the project participating countries, it could be concluded that there is still a serious lack of relevant data and documentation on material characteristics and building structural elements of architectural heritage as well as a lack of equipment for in situ characterisation. This could be used in multidisciplinary research of prevention, mitigating and repairing damages caused by climate changes and especially floods.

The innovative aspect of the research is based on the fact that the approach is interdisciplinary incorporating the teams of architects, material, social and natural scientists. With interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary insight into the complex problem, the methodology, methods and techniques will be considerably improved.

The focus of the research aspect will be environmental monitoring both indoor and outdoor: dust, noise, temperature, humidity, irradiation, sensors for groundwater table, sensors for capillary water, structural assessment using advanced radar and ultrasound techniques, wireless technology, the latest cultural heritage diagnostic technologies and innovated approach comparing to the existing practice (the regions are not connected and there is not any centre of excellence in the field of culture heritage).

The objectives of the proposed project are:

- to explore strategies to build the regional network and a database on the immovable cultural heritage classified according to its sensitivity to climate changes and flood exposure along the most sensitive river corridors;

- to review existing protocols, methodology and best practices in Western Balkan Countries concerning the risk assessment and prevention measures in the field,
- to improve and develop non-destructive techniques and methods for detection of types and mechanisms of damages on specific structures and materials caused by chemical, physical and biological effects,
- to develop strategies for both prevention and reparations in the case of defined action type.

Focusing on research, it is expected to obtain new results in the fields of architecture, building materials and related natural and social sciences coupled with new knowledge (processes and mechanisms of building degradations) towards defining a scientific approach considering prevention, protection and restoration of culture heritage as valuable part of the regional environment.

These sub-activities address multidisciplinary research contributing to the conservation and safeguarding of cultural heritage. They include the need to respond to the challenges resulting from the changes of our natural environment as well as from man-made activities and focusing on damage assessment and preventive conservation of the cultural heritage.

The major goal of the project is the initiation of an effective interdisciplinary collaboration for the development of interregional approach and strategy for the cultural/architectural heritage protection based on the knowledge and information of risk hazards of climate changes. Besides the contribution to cultural heritage protection, these activities will contribute to further building activities in flood-prone regions.

The transnational and cross-border dimension of the proposal is self-evident in the fact that the concept of the proposed research is based on the geographical, historical and environmental similarities and differences of the Balkan regions taking into account the overlapping of some specific sub regions (Panning region, river corridors, and mountain area) and their specific eco systems.

The experience of all the participating countries and the results in the research field should be coupled in integrated cross-border cooperation with the expecting synergetic effect in a research programme of multilateral interest and benefit.

Further discussion on recommendations

After these reports, the discussion went on, also aimed at defining generic recommendations and proposals for joint strategic activities.

As for the priorities, there is quite a fundamental bias. First of all, it should be clear that the European Commission does not plan to publish specific calls (SICAs) within FP 7, aimed at the WBC region. WBCs are competing on equal footing with the others. There are many other opportunities of funding. FP7 would be only one of the possibilities. The work here is to find good ideas for cooperative research with and within WBC. Part of it can find its way into

EU funding. There is an ongoing and continuous **regional call** of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, providing support to preparatory work, with the aim to finally submit a proposal to the FP. There are no thematic preferences, and one organisation from Germany and one from the Balkan countries are requested as a minimum.

As for the cooperation so far, mostly individual contacts were used, efforts were never made to upgrade it to the regional level. However, the approach is changing, and researchers observe that the region is important. So, what would be appreciated in this respect are networking events and brokerage events. Equipment sharing is another opportunity. It would be quite useful to make summer schools on different topics, where researchers would meet and teach students and leave to younger students the cooperation.

It is acknowledged that the EU MS representatives, as well the EC representative are present, which will give them insight into our problems and help us find solutions. This consultation session can be used as a starting point to organise this kind of meetings on a regular basis.

www.wbc-inco.net is acknowledged as a good portal, where a lot of useful information can be found.

In summary, the **recommendations** for generic and strategic activities would be:

- Encourage networking, that would bring about the intensification of cooperation: networking events (workshops like consultation sessions)
- Organise summer schools on specific topics
- Create trans-border Centre of Excellence
- Facilitate more multilateral cooperation
- Improve governance of research policies, consult research community in policy development
- Harmonise data bases on human resources (researchers, research institutions, agencies) by NCPs, following the model of ICT;
- Create a data base on research infrastructures
- Guide of cartography of excellence (for China) has been developed in DG RTD/ENV , similar can be done for this region
- Enable further capacity building, develop research infrastructure
- Encourage exchange of students

4.3 Advertise the project ERA Westbalkan data base. Presentations of invited experts

Prof. Lothar **ERDINGER** (University of Heidelberg) presented **EULIMNOS: Successful International Cooperation in WBC**, in the area of Shkodra Lake, with Montenegro and Albania as regional partners. Cooperation is ongoing since 2001, realised through different initiatives and activities, either project-based or not. Apart from Germany, other EU countries were or are actively involved in this initiative: Slovenia, Sweden, Austria, UK.

5 Annex 1: AGENDA of Consultation session on Health



WBC-INCO.NET - Setting of regional research priorities

Consultation Session on Health

May 12 and 13, 2009 in Tirana/Albania

Annotations

Tuesday, May 12, 2009

9:00 - 11:00	<p>Opening remarks</p> <p>Edmond Agolli, Ministry of Education and Science of Albania, MOES</p> <p>Welcome</p> <p>Adriana Gjonaj, Deputy Minister of Education and Science, ALBANIA</p> <p>Welcome. Ministry of Health, Albania</p> <p>Introduction to WBC-INCO.NET (Task T2.4)</p> <p>Outlook on expected results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) <u>Regional research priorities</u>b) <u>Recommendations</u> for further cooperation with WBC and major generic activities <p>Ulrike Kunze, International Bureau of the BMBF, Germany</p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro, MPIN</p>
--------------	---

	<p>FP 7 Work Programme on Health – responding to research priorities</p> <p>Joana Namorado, European Commission</p> <p>First discussion on <i>a)</i> Regional research priorities</p> <p>Moderator: Karine Sargsyan, Medical University of Graz, Austria</p> <p>Chair: Andreas Kahle, Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany</p>
11:00 - 11:20	<i>Coffee</i>

In this first session, we would like to set the scene for the Consultation Session giving an introduction in the WBC-INCO.NET and outlining the major issues of the workshop. Especially, we would like to look ahead on the expected results on, firstly, regional research priorities and, secondly, giving recommendations for further cooperation possibilities with the Western Balkan Countries (WBC) and major generic activities. For both topics, an outline will be given as input for the workshop participants.

One of the aims of the workshop is to specify regional research priorities of common interest for the WBC and the EU Member States, which can then be used as input for the FP7 Work Programme of the Theme of Health. Therefore, an overview of the FP7 Work Programme is given. In order to achieve the goal of specifying common priorities for all the WBC, input from the participating WBC researchers and other experts is needed to take into account and to incorporate the regional needs.

11:20 - 12:20	<p>Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities (Part 1)</p> <p><u>Presenters</u></p> <p>Albania (Genard Hajdini, Expert, Institute of Public Health, ALBANIA)</p> <p>Bosnia and Herzegovina ()</p> <p>Croatia (Prof. Dr. Ana Marušić, University of Split)</p>
12:20 - 13:40	<i>Lunch</i>
13:40 - 15:00	<p>Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities (Part 2)</p> <p><u>Presenters</u></p> <p>FYR of Macedonia (MSc Aspazija Sofijanova)</p> <p>Kosovo (under UN SCR 1244/1999) (Dr. Lul Raka, Faculty of Medicine, University of Prishtina)</p>

	Montenegro (Prof. Dr. Bogdan Asanin, University of Montenegro) Serbia (Mrs. Olgica Djurkovic-Djakovic)
--	---

The aim of this session is to present the S&T systems in the WBC regarding the field of Health and to highlight the major findings of the national country reports assembled prior to the Consultation Session. For each presentation, a maximum of 20 min (including a short discussion) are foreseen.

Ideally, during the presentation each analytical expert will propose a list of 3 to 5 research priorities in the field of Health. The assembled national country report will be distributed to the other countries prior to the workshop via the nominated expert and MPIN.

15:00 - 16:30	Discussion / refinement of regional research priorities (in 7 parallel working groups) Moderator: Karine Sargsyan, Medical University of Graz, Austria
16:30 - 16:50	<i>Coffee</i>
16:50 - 18:00	Report of the working groups Summing up of regional research priorities Moderator: Karine Sargsyan , Medical University of Graz, Austria
19:30	<i>Dinner</i>

In these two sessions, we will discuss and identify regional research priorities based on the great lines of the FP7 Work Programme on Health and the presentations of the S&T systems and the national country reports by the WBC in the previous session. The discussion will take place in 7 parallel groups according to the national delegations. The participating stakeholders from the WBC will be asked to give input to propose concrete research topics and to finally set up a priority list of not more than 5 priorities by all WBC. Back in the plenary session, a matrix will be produced with all research topics of the WBC to finally reach consensus on about 3 to 5 regional research priorities commonly agreed on.

Ideally, as a final result all WBC agree on a list of 3 to 5 research topics which are of highest priority for the WBC in the field of Health and that are of common interest also for the EU. These research topics can then be used as input to the FP7 Work Programme, a regional call, actions under a Regional Research Strategy etc.

Wednesday, May 13, 2009

9:00 - 10:45	<p>Presentations by invited experts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Cooperation possibilities in the field of Health (working title) Marta Barrionuevo Hafner, Health Institute Carlos III, Spain b. Public Health Research in the Western Balkan Countries Helmut Brand, Maastricht University, The Netherlands c. Biobanking for biomedical research Karine Sargsyan, Medical University of Graz, Austria d. SEE Health Network Alban Ylli, Albania
10:45 - 11:15	<i>Coffee</i>

While discussions on day one were primarily devoted to regional research priorities, day two will focus on recommendations for further cooperation with the WBC. Prior to the discussion, external experts will highlight different aspects which are connected to the Health theme. This will include presentations with the objective to view the Health sector from different perspectives and to introduce further ways and instruments of cooperation to the workshop participants. This session will give the opportunity to raise new issues within the Health theme and to discuss new ways of cooperation between the participants of the Consultation Session and with other initiatives, networks, etc.

11:15 - 12:45	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Recommendations for further cooperation with WBC and major generic activities</p> <p>Development of the regional strategy for research and development in the Western Balkans – <i>state of play</i></p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro, MPIN</p> <p>Moderator: Helmut Brand, Maastricht University, The Netherlands</p>
12:45 - 13:00	<p>Conclusions</p> <p>Edmond Agolli, MOES</p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, MPIN</p>
13:00 - 14:30	<i>Lunch</i>
14:30	<p><i>Consultation session ends</i></p> <p><i>Departure</i></p>

This last session of the workshop will be devoted to an open discussion about further cooperation possibilities with the WBC, and especially aspects of the Health area. Each

WBC delegation will be asked to formulate practical recommendations for major generic activities and on how the cooperation between the WBC in the area of S&T (Health) can be further developed. Ideally, a list of recommendations given by the participating WBC and the external experts will be compiled which could then be incorporated into a regional research strategy for in the near future.

Possible topics covered could include: A major generic activity regarding exchange and mobility, procedures for the exchange and dissemination of information, networking, infrastructure development, regular, annual meetings between researchers and policy makers in the WBC to discuss issues of a regional research strategy, etc.

List of participants:



WBC-INCO.NET Priority setting

“Consultation session on priority setting in the field of Health”

May. 12th and 13th 2009

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Representative	:	Med. Dr Joana Namorado
Institution	:	European Commission - Research DG Horizontal Aspects and Coordination (Ethics, Gender Issues) - Unit F1
Position	:	Scientific Officer

Phone	:	+32-2-298 54 66
Fax	:	Fax +32-2-299 58 88
E-mail	:	joana.namorado@ec.europa.eu

SPAIN / BELGIUM

Representative	:	Marta Barrionuevo Hafner
Institution	:	<i>Office for EU and International Research Projects (Madrid)/ Office of the Health NCP DG Research (Brussels)</i>
Position	:	
Phone	:	+34 91 822 2969
Fax	:	+34 91 387 7830
E-mail	:	mbarrionuevo@iscij.es

GERMANY

Representative	:	Andreas Kahle
Institution	:	Federal Ministry of Education and Research
Position	:	
Phone	:	+ 49 99 57 3199
Fax	:	+ 49 99 57 8 3199
E-mail	:	Andreas.kahle@bmbf.bund.de

Representative	:	Ulrike Kunze
Institution	:	International Bureau of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research; Project Management Agency c/o German Aerospace Center (DLR)
Position	:	Project manager
Phone	:	+49 228 3821 483
Fax	:	+49 228 3821 444

E-mail	:	Ulrike.Kunze@dlr.de
---------------	---	--

NETHERLANDS

Representative	:	Prof. Dr. med. Helmut Brand MSc MFPH DLSHTM
Institution	:	Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences Maastricht University
Position	:	Professor of European Public Health
Phone	:	+31 43 38 82 204, +31 43 38 84 006
Fax	:	+31 43 38 84 172
E-mail	:	helmut.brand@inthealth.unimaas.nl

AUSTRIA

Representative	:	Dr. Karine Sargsyan
Institution	:	Medizinische Universität Graz
Phone	:	+43/(0) 316/385-72716
E-mail	:	karine.sargsyan@medunigraz.at

ALBANIAN DELEGATION

Representative	:	Dr. Arjan Harxhi
Institution	:	Ministry of Health
Position	:	Director of Planning and Policies
E-mail	:	aharxhi@moh.gov.al

Representative	:	Prof. Genc Sulçebe
Institution	:	Faculty of Medicine – University of Tirana
Position	:	Vice Dean, Head of Laboratory
Phone	:	+ 355 (0)69 209 8648

E-mail	:	gensulcebe@gmail.com
---------------	---	--

Representative	:	Mr. Enver Roshi
Institution	:	Faculty of Medicine, Department of Public Health
E-mail	:	roshienver@yahoo.com

Representative	:	Mr. Ariel Çomo
Institution	:	University Hospital "Mother Tereza" Center
E-mail	:	acomo_2000@yahoo.com

Representative	:	Mr. Alban Ylli
Institution	:	Institute of Public Health
Position	:	Director
E-mail	:	albanylli@yahoo.co.uk

Representative	:	Mrs. Edlira Muedini
Institution	:	Trenkwalder Civet2000
Position	:	Managing Director
Phone	:	+355 4 2227615
Fax	:	+355 4 2227615
E-mail	:	e.muedini@trenkwalder.com

Representative	:	Mrs. Alida Kondi
Institution	:	Trenkwalder Civet2000
Position	:	Project Coordinator
Phone	:	+355 4 2227615
Fax	:	+355 4 2227615

E-mail	:	a.kondi@trenkwald.com
---------------	---	--

BOSNIAN DELEGATION

Representative	:	Mr Ozren Kordic
Institution	:	Medical Faculty – Banja Luka
Position	:	Vice dean
Phone	:	+387 65 584 784
E-mail	:	ozrenkordic@blic.net

Representative	:	Ms Anisa Kerken
Institution	:	SUS
E-mail	:	anisa.kerken@sus.ba

Representative	:	Ms Emina Nakas – Icindic
Institution	:	University of Sarajevo – Medical Faculty
Position	:	Head of Institute for Physiology and Biochemistry
Phone	:	+ 387 61 061 156 684
E-mail	:	emina.nakas@gmail.com

Representative	:	Ms Marina Bera
Institution	:	Federal Ministry of Health
Phone	:	+387 33 203 454
E-mail	:	marina.bera@fmoh.gov.ba

Representative	:	Ms Zarema Obradovic
Institution	:	Public Health Institute – Sarajevo Canton
Position	:	

Phone	:	+ 387 61 216 291
E-mail	:	zarema.o@bih.net.ba

CROATIAN DELEGATION

Representative	:	Dr. Tihomira Ivanda
Institution	:	Ministry of Science
Position	:	Health expert
E-mail	:	Tihomira.Ivanda@mzss.hr

Representative	:	Tanja Ivanović
Institution	:	Croatian Institute of Technology
Position	:	Health NCP
E-mail	:	tanja.ivanovic@hit.hr

Representative	:	Dr. Danica Ramljak
Institution	:	Rudjer Boskovic Institute
Position	:	Leading health scientists
E-mail	:	ramljakd@msn.com

Representative	:	Dr. Srećko Gajović
Position	:	Health expert
E-mail	:	sgajovic@mail.hiim.hr

Representative	:	Prof.dr. Ana Marušić
Institution	:	University of Split
Position	:	Analytical partner expert
E-mail	:	ana.marusic@mef.hr

Representative	:	Dr. Jadranka Švarc
Institution	:	IVO Pilar Institute
Position	:	Representative from the partner organisation
E-mail	:	jadranka.svarc@pilar.hr

FYR of MACEDONIAN DELEGATION ¹¹

Representative	:	Dr Aspazija Sofijanova
Institution	:	University's Children Hospital
E-mail	:	aspaziculi@yahoo.com

Representative	:	Ms. Marina Nikolovska
Institution	:	Ministry of Education and Science
E-mail	:	mnikolovska@mon.gov.mk

KOSOVO DELEGATION (under UNSCR 1244)

Representative	:	Mr. Lul Raka
Institution	:	University of Prishtina
Position	:	Teaching Assistant
Phone	:	+377-44-368 289
E-mail	:	lulraka@hotmail.com

Representative	:	Mr. Isuf Dedushaj
Institution	:	University of Prishtina,
Position	:	Professor of Epidemiology
Phone	:	+37744503525

¹¹ Due to unforeseen circumstances, Ms Anastasika Poposka from the Medical Faculty in Skopje finally could not participate in the meeting. The costs of her accomodation are being borne by the WBC-INCO.NET project.

E-mail	:	isufdedushaj@hotmail.com
---------------	---	--

Representative	:	Mr. Adil Raka
Institution	:	University of Prishtina,
Position	:	Professor of Stomatology
Phone	:	+37744 137078
E-mail	:	adilraka@hotmail.com

Representative	:	Mr. Avdyl Krasniqi
Institution	:	Faculty of Medicine, University of Montenegro
Position	:	Professor of Surgery
Phone	:	++37744 507776
E-mail	:	dr_krasniqi2001@yahoo.com

Representative	:	Mr. Xhevat Ukaj
Institution	:	Ministry of Health, Director
Position	:	Department for Strategic Planning
Phone	:	+37744 254810
E-mail	:	xhevat_ukaj@ks-gov.net

Representative	:	Mr. Rexhep Hoxha
Institution	:	University of Prishtina,
Position	:	Professor of Pharmacology
Phone	:	+37744 151 185
E-mail	:	rexha_ho@hotmail.com and rexhephoxha@gmail.com

Representative	:	Mr. Burim Neziri
-----------------------	---	-------------------------

Institution	:	University of Prishtina
Position	:	Teaching Assistant
Phone	:	+37744 825882
E-mail	:	bxn20@yahoo.com

MONTENEGRIN DELEGATION

Representative	:	Prof Dr Bogdan Asanin
Institution	:	Dean of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Montenegro
E-mail	:	basanin@cg.ac.yu

Representative	:	Prof Dr Radovan Stojanovic
Institution	:	Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Montenegro
Phone	:	+382 69 428 209 (mob), +382 20 245 873 (office)
E-mail	:	stox@cg.ac.yu

Representative	:	Prof. Dr Agima Ljajevic
Institution	:	Faculty of Medicine, University of Montenegro
Phone	:	+382 67 266 791
E-mail	:	agima@t-com.me

Representative	:	Dr Mileta Golubovic
Institution	:	Faculty of Medicine, University of Montenegro
Position	:	Associate Professor of Pathology
Phone	:	+382 68 005 550 (mob), +382 20 412 294 (office)
E-mail	:	miletagol@t-com.me

Representative	:	Tatjana Knezevic
-----------------------	---	-------------------------

Institution	:	Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro
Position	:	NCP
Phone	:	+382 20 405 341
E-mail	:	tatjanak@cg.ac.yu

SERBIAN DELEGATION

Representative	:	Mrs. Jelena Kolic
Institution	:	HEALTH NCP
Phone	:	+381 11 339 88 30
E-mail	:	jelena.kolic@nauka.gov.rs

Representative	:	Mrs. Olgica Djurkovic-Djakovic
E-mail	:	olgicadj@imi.bg.ac.yu

6 Annex 2: AGENDA of Consultation session on Transport



BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Ministry of Civil Affairs



WBC-INCO.NET setting of regional research priorities

Consultation Session on Transport

May 19 and 20, 2009 in Sarajevo/Bosnia-Herzegovina

Venue: Hotel Holiday Inn, BiH - Sarajevo

Zmaja od Bosne 4, Sarajevo 71000, Bosnia and Herzegovina

AGENDA with annotations

Tuesday, May 19, 2009

9:00 - 11:00	<p>Welcome speech</p> <p>Mr. Ammar Mirascija, Ministry of Civil Affairs, Bosnia and Herzegovina</p> <p>Tour de table</p> <p>Introduction to WBC-INCO.NET (Task T2.4)</p> <p>Outlook on expected results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a) <u>Regional research priorities</u>b) <u>Recommendations</u> for further cooperation with WBC and major generic activities <p>Ulrike Kunze, PT-DLR, Germany</p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, Ministry of Education and Science, Montenegro</p> <p>FP 7 Work Programme on Transport</p> <p>Karsten Krause, European Commission; DG RTD, Transport Directorate</p>
--------------	--

	Chair: Dr. Andreas Kahle , Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany
11:00 - 11:30	<i>Coffee</i>

In this first session, we would like to set the scene for the Consultation Session giving an introduction in the WBC-INCO.NET and outlining the major issues of the workshop. Especially, we would like to look ahead on the expected results on, firstly, regional research priorities and, secondly, giving recommendations for further cooperation possibilities with the Western Balkan Countries (WBC) and major generic activities. For both topics, an outline will be given as input for the workshop participants.

One of the aims of the workshop is to specify regional research priorities of common interest for the WBC and the EU Member States, which can then be used as input for the FP7 Work Programme of the Theme of Transport. Therefore, an overview of the FP7 Work Programme is given.

In order to achieve the goal of specifying common priorities for all the WBC, input from the participating WBC researchers and other experts is needed to take into account and to incorporate the regional needs, which will be done in the next session.

11:30 - 12:30	Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities (Part 1) <u>Presenters</u> Albania (Mr. Ali Dedej) Bosnia and Herzegovina (Mr. Saša Džumhur) Croatia (Prof. Dr Ivica Smojver, University of Zagreb)
12:30 - 13:40	<i>Lunch</i>
13:40 - 15:00	Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities (Part 2) <u>Presenters</u> Kosovo (under UN SCR 1244/1999) (Mr. Ramadan Duraku) Montenegro (Dr. Danilo Nikolic , University of Montenegro) Serbia (Mr. Radosav Jovanovic , University of Belgrade)

The aim of this session is to present the S&T systems in the WBC regarding the field of Transport and to highlight the major findings of the national country reports assembled prior to the Consultation Session. For each presentation, a maximum of 20 min (including a short discussion) are foreseen.

Ideally, during the presentation each analytical expert will propose a list of 3 to 5 research priorities in the field of Transport. The assembled national country report will be distributed to the other countries prior to the workshop via the nominated expert and MPIN.

15:00 - 16:30	Discussion / refinement of regional research priorities (in 7 parallel working groups) Moderator: Dr. Katerina Tzitzinou, HELP-FORWARD Network, Greece
16:30 - 16:50	<i>Coffee</i>
16:50 - 18:00	Report of the working groups Summing up of regional research priorities Moderator: Dr. Katerina Tzitzinou, HELP-FORWARD Network, Greece
19:00	<i>Dinner</i> <i>Restaurant "Kibe" Vrbanjuša 164, www.restorankibe.ba</i>

In these two sessions, we will discuss and identify regional research priorities based on the great lines of the FP7 Work Programme on Transport and the presentations of the S&T systems and the national country reports by the WBC in the previous session. The discussion will take place in 7 parallel groups according to the national delegations. The participating stakeholders from the WBC will be asked to give input to propose concrete research topics and to finally set up a priority list of not more than 5 priorities by all WBC. Back in the plenary session, a matrix will be produced with all research topics of the WBC to finally reach consensus on about 3 to 5 regional research priorities commonly agreed on. These research topics can then be used as input to the FP7 Work Programme, a regional call, actions under a Regional Research Strategy etc.

Wednesday, May 20, 2009

9:00 - 10:45	Presentations by invited experts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. ETNA NCP Dr. Katerina Tzitzinou, HELP-FORWARD Network, Greece Transport NCP b. Example for an ERA-NET: ERA-NET TRANSPORT II Walter Wasner, Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation And Technology, Austria c. Examples for a Technology Platform (ERRAC) and a FP7 Project
--------------	--

	<p>(TransNEW) Dennis Schut, UIC - Belgium d. Initiatives such as FEHRL, ERTRAC and the Construction Technology Platform Steve Philips, FEHRL, Belgium Chair: Alma Hasanovic, Ministry of Civil Affairs, Bosnia and Herzegovina</p>
10:45 - 11:00	<i>Coffee</i>

While discussions on day one were primarily devoted to regional research priorities, day two will focus on recommendations for further cooperation with the WBC. Prior to the discussion, external experts will highlight different aspects which are connected to the Transport theme. This will include presentations with the objective to view the Transport sector from different perspectives and to introduce further ways and instruments of cooperation to the workshop participants. This session will give the opportunity to raise new issues within the Transport theme and to discuss new ways of cooperation between the participants of the Consultation Session and with other initiatives, networks, etc.

11:00 - 12:30	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Recommendations for further cooperation with WBC and major generic activities</p> <p>Development of the regional strategy for research and development in the Western Balkans – state of play</p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro, MPIN</p> <p>Moderator: Dennis Schut, UIC Belgium</p>
12:30	<p>Short conclusions and closing</p> <p>Alma Hasanovic, Ministry of Civil Affairs, Bosnia and Herzegovina</p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, MPIN Montenegro</p>
12:30-13:30	<i>Lunch</i>
	<i>Departure</i>

This last session of the workshop will be devoted to an open discussion about further cooperation possibilities with the WBC, and especially aspects of the Transport area. Each

WBC delegation will be asked to formulate practical recommendations for major generic activities and on how the cooperation between the WBC in the area of S&T (Transport) can be further developed. Ideally, a list of recommendations given by the participating WBC and the external experts will be compiled which could then be incorporated into a regional research strategy for in the near future.

Possible topics covered could include: A major generic activity regarding exchange and mobility, procedures for the exchange and dissemination of information, networking, infrastructure development, regular, annual meetings between researchers and policy makers in the WBC to discuss issues of a regional research strategy, etc.

List of participants:

Name	INSTITUTION	COUNTRY	E-MAIL
Karsten Krause	European Commission, Directorate General for Research Transport Directorate H.1 Horizontal Aspects and Coordination	Belgium	karsten.krause@ec.europa.eu
Andreas Kahle	Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF)	Germany	andreas.kahle@bmbf.bund.de
Tanja Knezevic	Ministry of Education and Science	Montenegro	tatjanak@cg.ac.yu or tatjana.knezevic@gov.me
Ulrike Kunze	International Bureau of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research at the Project Management Agency c/o German Aerospace Center (DLR)	Germany	ulrike.kunze@dlr.de
Walter Wasner	Federal Ministry for Transport, innovation and Technology	Austria	walter.wasner@bmvit.gv.at
Steve Phillips	FEHRL AISBL	Belgium	Steve.Phillips@fehrl.org
Dennis Schut	UIC Brussels	Belgium	uicbrussels.research@yahoo.com

Katerina Tzitzinou	PRAXI / HELP-FORWARD Network, FING	Greece	katerina@help-forward.gr
Shaban Buza	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	Kosovo*	shabanbuza@yahoo.com
Ramadan Duraku	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	Kosovo*	r_duraku@hotmail.com
Qamil Feka	Ministry of Transport and Post-Telecommunication	Kosovo*	
Mustafa Fejzulla	Ministry of Transport and Post-Telecommunication	Kosovo*	
Martin Halilaj	Ministry of Transport and Post-Telecommunication	Kosovo*	
Ivan Legac	Faculty of Transport Sciences - Univeristy of Zagreb	HR	legac@fpz.hr
Josko Parunov	University of Zagreb	HR	jparunov@fsb.hr
Miso Klaric	Croatia Airlines	HR	miso.klaric@croatiaairlines.hr
Ivica Smojver	University of Zagreb - Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	HR	ismojver@fsb.hr
Bojana Grujić	Arhitektonsko- gradjevinskog fakulteta u Banja Luci	BiH	bjngrujic@yahoo.com
Alma Hasanović	MCP	BiH	alma.hasanovic@mcp.gov.ba

Haris Muhić	SUS BiH	BiH	haris.muhic@sus.ba
Anisa Kerken	SUS BiH	BiH	anisa.kerken@sus.ba
Prof. Dr Ešref Gačanin		BiH	esref.gacanin@ipsa-institut.com
Mr. Saša Džumhur		BiH	sasa.dzumhur@ipsa-institut.com
Mr. Reuf Boračić	JP Direkcija cesta - BiH	BiH	reufb@jpdcfbh.ba
Doc.dr Boško Mišić	Saobraćajni fakultet u Doboju	BiH	misicbosko@yahoo.com
Doc.dr Ranko Božičković	Saobraćajni fakultet u Doboju	BiH	
Mr Boro Gojković	Saobraćajni fakultet u Doboju	BiH	
Mr Mustafa Kovacevic	Ministry of Transport	BiH	
Prof. Andonaq Lamani	Dean of Mechanic Faculty	AL	a_londo2001@yahoo.co.uk
Ing. Vera Shiko	ICP for TRANSPORT	AL	verashiko@yahoo.com
Prof. Gazment Gatipi	Mechanic Faculty	AL	gazqatipi@hotmail.com

Ing. Shkelqim Gjevori	Vice Director of Institute of Transport	AL	gjevorishkelqim@yahoo.com
Ing. Ali Dedej	Director of Consulting Private Agency for Transport	AL	alidedej@hotmail.com
Mr Dejan Djurdjevic	Port of Bar	Montenegro	dejan.djurdjevic@lukabar.me
Dr Danilo Nikolic	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	Montenegro	dannikol@t-com.me
Ms Snezana Pasalic	Ministry of Science and Technological Development	Serbia	snezana.pasalic@nauka.gov.rs
Mr Vojin Tosic	University of Belgrade	Serbia	v.tosic@sb.bg.ac.yu
Mr Radoslav Jovanovic	University of Belgrade	Serbia	r.jovanovic@sf.bg.ac.yu

7 Annex 3: AGENDA of Consultation session on Environment



REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND
SCIENCE

SECTOR OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGICAL
DEVELOPMENT



WBC-INCO.NET setting of regional research priorities

Consultation Session on Environment

June 16 and 17, 2009 in Skopje/Republic of Macedonia

Venue: Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje,

(Rector's Building –Round Conference Hall)

Address: bul. Krste Misirkov bb, 1000 Skopje, R.Macedonia

Tel.: 3293-293 (call center), Fax: 3293-202

www.ukim.mk, ukim@ukim.edu.mk

AGENDA with annotations

Tuesday, June 16, 2009

9:00 - 11:00	<p>Welcome</p> <p>Ms. Snezana Bilik- Sotiroska, Head of Sector of Science and Technological Development, Ministry of Education and Science</p> <p>Tour de Table</p> <p>Introduction to WBC-INCO.NET (Task T2.4)</p> <p>Outlook on expected results</p> <p>a) Regional research <u>priorities</u></p> <p>b) <u>Recommendations</u> for further cooperation with WBC and major generic activities</p> <p>Ulrike Kunze, International Bureau of the BMBF, Germany</p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro, MPIN</p> <p>FP 7 Work Programme on Environment</p> <p>Nicholas Christoforides, European Commission, DG RTD, Environment Directorate</p> <p>First discussion on <i>a)</i> regional research priorities</p> <p>Moderator: Dr. Andreas Kahle, BMBF</p> <p>Chair: Ms. Snezana Bilik-Sotiroska</p>
--------------	--

In this first session, we would like to set the scene for the Consultation Session giving an introduction in the WBC-INCO.NET and outlining the major issues of the workshop. Especially, we would like to look ahead on the expected results, firstly, on regional research priorities and, secondly, giving recommendations for further cooperation possibilities with the Western Balkan Countries (WBC) and major generic activities. For both topics, an outline will be given as input for the workshop participants.

One of the aims of the workshop is to specify regional research priorities of common interest for the WBC and the EU Member States, which can then be used as input for the FP7 Work Programme of the Theme of Environment. Therefore, an overview of the FP7 Work Programme is given.

In order to achieve the goal of specifying common priorities for all the WBC, input from the participating WBC researchers and other experts is needed to take into account and to incorporate the regional needs, which will be done in the next session.

11:30 - 12:30	<p>Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities (Part 1)</p> <p><u>Presenters</u></p> <p>Albania ()</p> <p>Bosnia and Herzegovina (Sulejman Redzic, Academician)</p> <p>Croatia (Dr. Slaven Dobrovic, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture)</p>
12:30 - 13:40	<i>Lunch at the same Venue</i>
13:40 - 15:00	<p>Presentation of S&T systems and regional research priorities (Part 2)</p> <p><u>Presenters</u></p> <p>FYRepublic of Macedonia</p> <p>Dr. Natasa Markovska, Macedonian Academy of Science and Arts- Institute of Energy- Skopje</p> <p>Dr. Atanas Kocov, Dean, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje</p> <p>Kosovo (under UN SCR 1244/1999) (Dr. Agim Gashi, Faculty of Mathematical and Natural Sciences)</p> <p>Montenegro (Prof. Mira VUKCEVIC, Vice-Rector of the University of Montenegro)</p> <p>Serbia (Prof. Predrag Jovanic)</p>

The aim of this session is to present the S&T systems in the WBC regarding the field of Environment and to highlight the major findings of the national country reports assembled prior to the Consultation Session. For each presentation, a maximum of 20 min (including a short discussion) are foreseen.

Ideally, during the presentation each analytical expert will propose a list of 3 to 5 research priorities in the field of Environment. The assembled national country report will be distributed to the other countries prior to the workshop via the nominated expert and the Montenegrin Ministry of Education and Science.

15:00 - 16:30	Discussion / refinement of regional research priorities (in 7 parallel working groups)
---------------	--

	Moderator: Andreas Khale
16:30 - 16:50	<i>Coffee</i>
16:50 - 18:00	Report of the working groups Summing up of regional research priorities Moderator: Dr Ulrike Kunze, PT-DLR
19:00	<i>Restaurant ANJA, City Park, Jodran H. Dzinot br. 12^a – Skopje</i>

In these two sessions, we will discuss and identify regional research priorities based on the great lines of the FP7 Work Programme on Environment and the presentations of the S&T systems and the national country reports by the WBC in the previous session. The discussion will take place in 7 parallel groups according to the national delegations. The participating stakeholders from the WBC will be asked to give input to propose concrete research topics and to finally set up a priority list of not more than 5 priorities by all WBC. Back in the plenary session, a matrix will be produced with all research topics of the WBC to finally reach consensus on about 3 to 5 regional research priorities commonly agreed on. These research topics can then be used as input to the FP7 Work Programme, a regional call, actions under a Regional Research Strategy etc.

Wednesday, June 17, 2009

	Reports from the working groups from Day 1
9:00 - 10:00 10:00-10:45	Presentations: EULIMNOS: Successful International Cooperation in WBC Lothar Erdinger , University of Heidelberg Chair: Ulrike Kunze, PT-DLR
10:45 - 11:00	<i>Coffee</i>

While discussions on day one were primarily devoted to regional research priorities, day two will focus on recommendations for further cooperation with the WBC. Prior to the discussion, external experts will highlight different aspects which are connected to the Environment theme. This will include presentations with the objective to view the Environment sector from different perspectives and to introduce further ways and instruments of cooperation to the workshop participants. This session will give the opportunity to raise new issues within the Environment theme and to discuss new ways of cooperation between the participants of the Consultation Session and with other initiatives, networks, etc.

11:00 - 12:30	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Recommendations for further cooperation with WBC and major generic activities</p> <p>Development of the regional strategy for research and development in the Western Balkans – <i>state of play</i></p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro, MPIN</p> <p>Moderator: Claire Nauwelaers (UNU-MERIT, Netherlands)</p>
12:30	<p>Short conclusions and closing</p> <p>Ms Violeta Atanasovska, Ministry of Education and Science of Macedonia</p> <p>Tanja Knezevic, MPIN Montenegro</p>
12:30 - 13:30	<p><i>Lunch at the same Venue</i></p>
	<p><i>Departure</i></p>

This last session of the workshop will be devoted to an open discussion about further cooperation possibilities with the WBC, and especially aspects of the Environment area. Each WBC delegation will be asked to formulate practical recommendations for major generic activities and on how the cooperation between the WBC in the area of S&T (Environment) can be further developed. Ideally, a list of recommendations given by the participating WBC and the external experts will be compiled which could then be incorporated into a regional research strategy for in the near future.

Possible topics covered could include: A major generic activity regarding exchange and mobility, procedures for the exchange and dissemination of information, networking, infrastructure development, regular, annual meetings between researchers and policy makers in the WBC to discuss issues of a regional research strategy, etc.

List of participants:

Name and Surname	Institution	Country	Phone	Email
Arsen Proko	Academy of Science, Dr., Geological Service of Albania	AL	+355 69 2097 865	a.proko@hotmail.com arsen.proko@gmail.com
Arben Pambuku	Geological Service of Albania	AL	+355 42 223 285	urtesi2001@yahoo.com
Dr. Hamdi Beshku	Polytechnic university of Tirana, institute of Energy, Water and Environment	AL	+355 42 223 285	hbeshku@yahoo.com
Prof. Spase Shumka,	Agriculture University of Tirana - Faculty of agriculture and Environment	AL	+355 42 243 812	sprespa@yahoo.co.uk
Mira Vukcevic	University of Montenegro Faculty of Metallurgy and Tehnology, Podgorica	MNE	+382 (0) 20 241777; +382 (0) 67 377 737	mirav@cg.ac.yu
Andrej Perovic		MNE		aperov@t-com.me
Gordan Karaman	Montenegrin Academy of Sciences and Arts	MNE	+382 20 640 223	karaman@t-com.me
Dragoljub Blečić	University of Montenegro, Faculty of Metallurgy and Tehnology, Podgorica	MNE	+382 (0) 20 265 470 ; +382 (0) 67 212 926	dragob@cg.ac.yu
Sasa Ivanovic	Ministry of Education and Science of Montenegro	MNE	+382 20 405 339	sasai@ac.me
Danijela Sukovic	Centre for Ecotoxicological Research	MNE		Sukovic.r@t-com.me
Tatjana Knezevic	Ministry of Education and Science	MNE	+382 20 405 341	

Slaven DOBROVIC	Faculty of Mechanical engineering and Naval Architecture University of Zagreb	CRO	++ 385 1 6168531	slaven.dobrovic@fsb.hr
Tarzan Legovic		CRO		legovic@irb.hr
Snezana Pasalic	Ministry of Science and Technological Development	SER	+381 11 3640 232/ ext. 126	Snezana.pasalic@nauka.gov.rs
Jonjaua Ranogajac	Faculty of Technology	SER	+381 21 485 3757	janjar@uns.ac.rs
Gordana Stefanovic	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Nis	SER	+381 18 500 638	goca@masfak.ni.ac.yu
Ljubisa Stanisavljevic	Faculty of Biology, Belgrade	SER	+381 64 222 5433	ljestanis@bio.bg.ac.rs
Vlada Veljkovic	Faculty of Technology – Nis University	SER	+381 16 247 203	veljkovicvb@yahoo.com
Antonije Onjia	Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences	SER	+381 11 2455 654 +381 64 2386 292	onjia@vinva.rs
Predrag Jovanic	Institute for Multidisciplinary Research	SER	+381 11 3555 258	Predrag.jovanic@cms.bg.ac.yu
Midhat Uščuplić	Academy of Sciences and Arts of Bosnia and Herzegovina	BH	+387 33 206 034	uscuplic@hotmail.com
Dragojla Vuković	Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics Banja Luka University	BH	+387 51 319 142	dragoilav@yahoo.com
Anisa Kerken	World University Service BaH	BH	+387 33 200 070	anisa.kerken@sus.ba
Sulejman Redžić	Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics Sarajevo University	BH	+387 33 250 510	redzic0102@yahoo.com
Ulrike Kunze	International Bureau of the BMBF (PT-DLR)	GER	+ 49 228 3821 483	Ulrike.Kunze@dlr.de

Andreas Kahle	Federal Ministry of Education and Research)	GER	+49 228 99 57 31 99	Andreas.kahle@bmbf.bund.de
René Wintjes	UNU-MERIT	NL	+31 (0) 43 - 3884474	r.wintjes@maastrichtuniversity.nl
Claire Nauwelaers	UNU-MERIT	NL	+3210454590	c.nauwelaers@maastrichtuniversity.nl
<i>Lothar Erdinger</i>	Hygiene Institut der Universität Heidelberg Abt. Hygiene und Med. Mikrobiologie	GER	06221 5637810	L.Erdinger@uni-heidelberg.de
Elena Dumova-Jovanoska	Faculty of Civil Engineering	MK		
Viktor Stefov	Faculty of Chemistry	MK		
Ljupco Melovski	Faculty of Biology	MK		
Murtezan Ismaili		MK		
Natasa Markovska	MANU	MK		
Emilija Fidancevska		MK		
Mile Dimitrovski	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje	MK		dimit1@ereb1.f.ukim.edu.mk
Atanasko Tuneski	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje	MK		ataanas@ereb1.mf.ukim.edu.mk
Valentina Gecevska	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje	MK		valeg@mf.ukim.edu.mk
Atanas	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje	MK		

Kocov				
Anita Grozdanov	Faculty of Technology and Methalurgy	MK		anita@tmf.ukim.edu.mk
Vladimir Dukovski	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje	MK		
Teodora Grncarovska	Ministry of Ecology	MK		teodoragrncarova@yahoo.com

8 Annex 4: useful links and addresses

Health:

http://cordis.europa.eu/fp7/cooperation/health_en.html

<http://www.meduni-graz.at/1449>

<http://www.snz.hr/ph-see>

<http://www.healthncpnet.eu>

Transport :

<http://cordis.europa.eu/fp7/dc/index.cfm>

http://ec.europa.eu/research/transport/index_en.html

<http://www.transport-ncps.net>

www.help-forward.gr

<http://www.transport-era.net/>

www.errac.org

9 Annex 5: Follow-up consultation session in the field of Transport / SEETRANS 2011

The WP2 follow-up consultation session for the setting of Regional Research Priorities in the field of Transport was originally scheduled for autumn 2010 in Sarajevo. Some discussions with NCPs and experts in this field have shown that it could be better to postpone this meeting to spring 2011 in order to join other activities from similar projects.

The WBC-INCO.NET team got the concrete offer to join the organization of a brokerage event on 12-13 April 2011 in the field of Transport targeted to FP7 calls to be published in spring 2011.

The Conference and brokerage event “SEETRANS 2011 - Transport Research Opportunities for South East Europe in the EU” was held on 12-13 April 2011 in Ljubljana, Republic of Slovenia. The conference was arranged by ETNA (a networking project for the National Contact Points for Transport), the Ministry of Transport in Slovenia, the Maribor University in Slovenia and WBC-INCO.NET (Coordination of Research Policies with the Western Balkan countries).

SEETRANS 2011 brought together the research community as well as industry representatives, governmental representatives from Slovenia, South Eastern European countries and the rest of the EU in order to present their research results, their research capabilities and plans, and to meet projects and stakeholders coming from all over Europe.

The participants were welcomed by **Dr. Stane Bozicnik**, representative of the University of Maribor, and by Mr **David Doerr** from the European Transport NCP Alliance (ETNA). They thanked the participants, the distinguished speakers and the European Commission for support, wishing all to use an opportunity to present new ideas and to form potential partnerships for the next FP7 calls and calls in the following Framework Programme.

Dr Patrick Vlačić, Minister of Transport, couldn't greet the participants as he was member of the Government delegation abroad. Instead of him, **Dr. Igor Jakomin**, State Secretary in the Ministry of Transport of the Republic of Slovenia, said that he was sincerely glad that participants had gathered in such a great number. He emphasised that Slovenia actively seeks to participate successfully in Seventh Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development and contributes to the realisation of the objectives of European transport policy. He said that today's conference had its beginnings in 2007. He emphasised that South-East Europe has a particular transport location. Also, Slovenia has its important position, it lies at the crossroads of important transport corridors, both north and south, on the one hand, and on the west and east, on the other.

He informed the participants that in the context of the realisation of the planned activities of the European Strategy for the Danube Region, Slovenia, together with Serbia, took over the task of coordinator for land and air transport. Already in the preparation of the strategy, the Ministry of Transport of the Republic of Slovenia prepared the research project entitled:

"Transport Research in an expanding European Union". Slovenia wants to establish a transport research platform in the region that will constitute a network between research bodies and educational institutions with the aim of knowledge transfer and providing relevant analysis and studies. SEETRANS is the first practical step in this direction.

Finally, he thanked the colleagues from Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Croatia for the excellent organisation of information days, despite the extremely short time for preparation, and to Dr Božičnik's group in the Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Maribor, for the excellent organisation of the event.

Nenad Nikolić, General Manager of the South East Europe Transport Observatory (SEETO), presented the survey of the Main Transport Challenges in South East Europe. The SEETO network is a regional high level transport organisation established in 2004, by Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia, the United Nations Mission in Kosovo and the European Commission. The aim of the network is to promote cooperation on the development of the infrastructure on the multimodal South East Europe Core Regional Transport Network and to promote and enhance local capacity for the implementation of investment programmes, management and data collection and analysis of the Core Regional Transport Network. One hundred people in the Steering Committee, National Coordinators, Railway and Intermodal Working Group and Road Safety Working Group had a number of meetings. Within the network, they prepare and regularly update a 5 year Multi-Annual Work Plan for the development of the Core Regional Transport Network. In 2004 WBC showed that cooperation between transport ministries exist, as well as infrastructure management, but the challenge is to deliver better cooperation between institutions and experts and provide assistance for strengthening regional planning capacity in transport.

Liam Breslin, from EC, DG RTD Transport, gave the introduction to Transport Research in the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development (FP7), with focus on the European Economy Recovery plan, which aim is to support innovation in manufacturing, construction and in the automobile sector. He explained that the European green car initiative is one of 3 Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) proposed, along with European energy efficient buildings initiative and Factories of the future initiative. He also said that European Clean Transport Facility is investment support for research in emission reductions.

He presented the coming transport calls of FP7. Info days were to be held on 18th–19th July 2011 in Brussels. The call will cover three main areas: Aeronautics and Air Transport (8 activities), Sustainable Surface Transport (7 activities) and Horizontal Activities for the Implementation of the Transport Programme (3 activities). He encouraged new member states to participate in FP7 calls, as there is capability in the various new member states in all transport modes. The participants could hear again that universities and research centres are a driving force for the participation in FP7 projects, but it is more difficult to attract public authorities. As positive examples of good participation are Estonia, Slovenia, Bulgaria and the Czech Republic. He also mentioned "FP8" ideas, concerning: resource efficient transport

respecting the environment, less congestion despite increase in transport, global leadership for the European transport manufacturing industry, transferring knowledge into innovation, and in international cooperation large-scale initiatives.

He noticed the importance of the Transport Green Paper that constitutes a major improvement to future EU research and innovation funding, covering the FP, the CIP-Programme and the EIT (European Institute of Innovation and Technology) in a Common Strategic Framework, with a coherent set of funding instruments along the whole innovation chain (from basic research to market uptake) and far reaching simplification of procedures and rules. Europe is catching up with its main economic rivals - the US and Japan - in innovation performance. The Green Paper is addressed to all stakeholders, both research & business, public & private, large & small, experts & general public, individuals & organisations, etc. and asked all to respond to the public consultation in the online questionnaire.

After the coffee break, the invited experts in the events gave presentations on other initiatives that could be of interest to the participants. These initiatives provide support activities the researchers can participate in, and provide therefore a basis for cooperation.

Erik van de Burgwal, partner in the ETNA project, gave an overview on Transport Related European Technology Platforms. Firstly, he gave the definition of European Technology Platforms (ETPs): "industry-led stakeholder forums involving universities, research organisations, non-governmental organisations, users, member state-representatives, etc. that define and implement long term visions and strategic research agendas in technological areas that require strong cooperation between research actors to address major economic or social challenges".

ETP members must submit project proposals and are treated as any other proposer. He gave a brief overview on 5 Technology Platforms up today in Transport: ACARE – Advisory Council for Aeronautics Research in Europe, including around 40 members, ERRAC – European Rail Research Advisory Council, ERTRAC – European Road Transport Research Advisory Council, PPP European Green Cars Initiative and WATERBORNE.

Results of the evaluation study 2009 showed that ETP members and stakeholders are satisfied: 93 percent of them would renew their membership and perceive ETPs as sufficiently open and transparent, but presence of NGOs, end-users (and SMEs) is small and stakeholders would like to see more implementation.

Significant effects in the alignment of priorities between academia and industry are seen, but there is room to improve communication channels with national policy makers.

The study recommendations to the European Commission related to clarification of the ETP concept, objectives, rules and procedures, insurance of the quality of ETPs, involvement of ETPs in policy preparation processes, support to ETPs in developing an international dimension.

The European Rail Research Advisory Council (ERRAC) was presented by **Dennis Schut**, who gave the presentation on the European transport landscape and the importance of rail R&D investments. Sustainability and the ability to bring regional cohesion (particularly improved connectivity and faster journey times) are some of the key benefits that rail can deliver most effectively.

He mentioned some of the ERRAC past results, such as ensured widespread consensus of the Strategic Rail Research Agenda 2020 and updating the ERRAC Rail Business Scenario, as well as reviewing the light rail and metro system market sector in the 25 EU Member States. ERRAC input is very influential to the content of the Rail research topics of the FP7 Calls for Proposals. Its role is to advise the EC on transport research, especially on calls for proposals. An important fact is that the platform is open to everyone and further partners are welcome to participate.

Some important ERRAC studies with an impact on the market are: «Suburban and Regional Railways Landscape in Europe »; «Light Rail and Metro Systems in Europe», «A comparison of Member State public research programmes with the ERRAC SRRRA 2020». This SRRRA 2020 (Strategic Rail Research Agenda 2020) is the document influencing decisions at EU Institutions and Members States level. He also noted that ERRAC-Roadmaps will contribute to translate, monitor and disseminate to the whole European industry the strategic recommendations and guidelines of the SRRRA 2020. Main priorities in the updated SRRRA are: Energy and Environment, Personal Security, Test, Homologation and Safety, Competitiveness and enabling technologies, Strategy and Economics and Infrastructure.

In the second part of the presentation, Mr Schut focused on the project “TransNEW - Coordinating and Support Action aimed at supporting transport research activities in the New Member States”. The project covers all transport modes, including aeronautics and five Themes: Greening – environmental impacts of transport and climate change, Enhanced integration of transport modes, Safety and Security, Transport system efficiency and Mobility and Competitiveness. It assesses transport research capabilities, fosters cross-border cooperation, and creates databases of expertise. The TransNEW database also has a dedicated SME focus.

It also stimulates, encourages and facilitates the participation of the new Member States and particularly of the SMEs in those countries in national, regional and European research.

TransNEW will be mapping the transport research capabilities in 26 NMS: BALTIC STATES – Estonia, Lithuania, Latvia; CENTRAL EUROPE – Czech Rep. Hungary, Poland, Slovakia; WEST BALKANS – Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Kosovo (under UNSCR 1244), FYR Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia, Slovenia; SOUTH BALKANS AND MEDITERRANEAN – Albania, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Malta, Moldova, Romania, Turkey; ASSOCIATED STATES – Iceland, Israel, Norway, Switzerland and Lichtenstein.

For 2011, the TransNEW Consortium has planned a series of workshops in different regions of Europe to inform potential users about research capabilities and good practices in the transport sector and to create new opportunities for all users.

Several "Research Capabilities and Potentials"-Workshops are scheduled to take place in Vilnius (Baltic States), Prague (Central Europe), Belgrade (West Balkans - May 27, 2011) and Istanbul (South Balkan and Mediterranean; June 3, 2011). These workshops will become a useful forum for exchanging ideas and sharing information with EC officials and experienced partners but above all for learning how to work together to prepare joint proposals. This will prove to be particularly important for new member states and candidate countries that may have difficulties in grasping the intricacies of participating in Europe-wide projects.

Research Opportunities Workshops are scheduled to take place in Riga (Baltic States), Budapest (Central Europe), Ljubljana (West Balkans - September 30, 2011) and Bucharest (South Balkan and Mediterranean; September 21, 2011).

Mr Steve Phillips, Secretary General of FEHRL, introduced participants to the Forum of European National Highway Research Laboratories (FEHRL). Increasing emphasis is being placed on urban and secondary inter-urban road issues, which include: street works, infrastructure issues related to climate change, provision of dedicated infrastructure, accessibility issues for heavy vehicles and Infrastructure safety issues.

He presented different initiatives that involve partner from the region (CERTAIN, SPENS, ARCHES).

It was interesting to hear about cluster of FP6 EU sponsored projects: Sustainable Pavements for New Member States (SPENS), which objective is to develop tools and procedures for rapid and cost-effective rehabilitation & maintenance of roads in EU New Member States.

Assessment and Rehabilitation of CE Highway Structures' (ARCHES) objective is to develop appropriate procedures for faster, more and longer lasting rehabilitations of sub-standard highway structures. Central European Research in Transport Infrastructure (CERTAIN) objective is to provide a platform for coordinated work & efficient dissemination of results of SPENS, ARCHES, and to organise workshops and provide key documents in national languages and to organise training courses for research project managers.

He sent the message: *To develop better roads..... We need to be aware of what context we are working in and look over the barriers.*

David Doerr, European Transport NCP Alliance (ETNA), gave the short clarification of the Brokerage Session. He emphasised the role of National Contact Points (NCPs), and their activities, aimed at advising, assisting and training activities. Their support in search for partners is especially important.

Following this, there were 38 presentations about research organisations and project ideas made during the Brokerage Session.

18 presenters (of a total of 39) from the WB countries presented a variety of topics/project ideas such as: Project Sustainable Balkan Intermodal Terminal NETWORK, Intelligent Pedestrian Crossings And Advanced Driver Assistance Systems Towards Enhanced Safety Of Vulnerable Road Users, Sustainable Mobility Network Planning in WBC, Automated electric vehicles, Efficient energy management, Sustainable Urban Transport Plan Actions, Intelligent Urban Traffic Management and Control Systems Assessment, Road and Air Traffic, Environmental Impact Assessment, Modeling of Air Traffic Safety Systems, Traffic and Transport Policy Making, Intermodal Transport Development, Transport Users Benefit Appraisal.

On 13 April 2011, **Dr. Aleš Mihelič**, Slovenian Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology, gave a welcome address.

Dr Ulrike Kunze from the International Bureau of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (DLR) gave a presentation on the WBC-INCO.NET project, funded under FP7 Capacities – INCO, which objective is the Integration of the Western Balkan countries (WBC) in the European Research Area. Regional Research Priorities for WBC were identified in five consultation sessions, held in 2008 and 2009: ICT, AgroFood, Health, Transport I (Sarajevo May 2009) and Environment. The session Transport II was done in SEETRANS 2011,

The regional research priorities in the field of Transport are the following:

1. Impact of surface transport on environment and safety
2. Advanced materials and structures engineering for safer and greener means of transport
3. Passenger and freight intermodal transport and optimal use of various transport modes
4. Application of advanced simulations in transport systems
5. Application of ICT in intelligent transport systems

Two more Consultation Sessions are planned in the field of Social Sciences and Humanities (September 2011) and Energy (2012).

Dr Kunze explained that Identification of Regional Research Priorities should be input to (Future) FP7 (and/or FP8) Work Programmes. She said that the project Regional R&D Strategy on Innovation for the WBC (WorldBank & Regional Cooperation Council) is going to start with implementation this year.

The TransSLO-PLUS project was presented by **dr. Stane Božičnik**, University of Maribor. TRANSSLO has its main focus on mapping the transport research potential in Slovenia. The main TransSLO-PLUS results are up-to-date information about: research calls, events, search for partners and Transport Research Database (researchers, organisations,

projects). Future potential is to transfer TRANSSLO-plus best practice experiences to the other interested organizations in SEE countries. To establish SEETRANS as continuous meeting point between the: transport research community of SE Europe and research challenges, opportunities and needs of the rest of the EU.

David Doerr, European Transport NCP Alliance (ETNA) gave a brief overview of expected focus areas for future calls for the Theme transport (FP7) and a forward look to FP8 with indicative budget for Aeronautics and Air Transport (AAT): circa €150 million, Sustainable Surface Transport (SST): circa €153 million and Horizontal Activities (TPT): circa: €10 million.

Two parallel sessions were held on day two: Road and Intermodal transport, Waterborne and other modes.

The presentations consisted of detailed descriptions of the project, its activities and results and it followed a question and answers session with the participants who showed interest and appreciation to the project.

The identified priorities are further specified through expressed research interests and aims. The two working groups (Road and Intermodal transport, Waterborne and other modes) focused on specific research topics in order to get prepared and to form potential partnerships for the next 7FP calls.

Impact Assessment

In order to evaluate the outcomes of the Conference and the brokerage event, an impact assessment on SEETRANS 2011 was carried out and a report prepared by the Transport Economics Centre, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Maribor/Slovenia.

The evaluation of the SEETRANS 2011 event was carried out by means of two surveys: a survey in form of a paper questionnaire presented at the SEETRANS 2011 event, and an online survey, which was distributed via e-mail. 40 hard copy questionnaires were filled in at the event, and 28 responses were received through the online survey, most of them with all statements fulfilled.

The results of both questionnaires show that all the participants found the SEETRANS workshop useful and that they would like to participate in similar future events. They also noted that they were satisfied with organisation and timing. In the Conference, the participants gained useful knowledge and managed to establish a relationship with other research organisations. The participants would welcome that the workshop be held on an annual basis. They would like to see future events like this, with more time for networking and greater involvement of research organisations from EU Member States.

The majority of the participants acknowledged to have received interesting ideas for their future research at the event and most likely obtained contact information from representatives of the idea(s). But not all of the participants were successful with networking and establishment of new relationships; 12% of those who responded confirmed that they have been involved in the formation of a new consortium that will submit an FP7 project proposal.

The conclusion is that at the event interesting project ideas were presented, along with the needed information about the 7th Framework Programme and other useful information. Some of the participants have been successful in forming a new consortium or becoming part of another consortium. However, it seems that not all of them were successful in networking and not all managed to establish new research collaboration to get involved in the new FP7 calls, but the participants managed to present their institutions and themselves and to connect with other research organisations or SMEs.

From the analysis of the response to questionnaires regarding satisfaction with the event SEETRANS 2011 we can conclude that:

- At the event interesting project ideas were presented, along with the needed information about the 7th Framework Programme and other useful information on participation in international research projects.
- It seems that a good number of the participants were successful with networking and managed to establish new research collaboration as well as getting involved in the new FP7 calls. They also manage to present themselves and connect with other research organisations.



SEETRANS 2011

Transport Research Opportunities for South East Europe in the EU

12 - 13 April 2011, Ljubljana - Slovenia

Venue: Hotel Lev, Vošnjakova 1, 1000 Ljubljana

Agenda (FINAL)

12 April 2011: Brokerage and Networking Event

Start	Plenary Session
08:30	Registration
09:30	Welcome dr. Stane Božičnik, University of Maribor David Doerr, European Transport NCP Alliance (ETNA)
	Keynote Address dr. Patrick Vlačič, Minister of Transport, Republic of Slovenia
	Survey of the Main Transport Challenges in South East Europe Nenad Nikolić, General manager, South East Europe Transport Observatory (SEETO)
	Introduction to Transport Research in the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development (FP7) Liam Breslin, European Commission
11:00	Coffee Break
11:30	Transport Related European Technology Platforms – Setting Research Agendas for European R&D Erik van de Burgwal, ETNA
	European Rail Research Advisory Council (ERRAC) Dennis Schut, UIC and ERRAC

	Forum of European National Highway Research Laboratories (FEHRL) Steve Phillips, Secretary General, FEHRL
	Short Clarification of the Brokerage Session David Doerr, European Transport NCP Alliance (ETNA)

12 April 2011: Brokerage and Networking Event

13:00	Lunch
14:00	<p>Presentation of Profiles of Research Organisations and Draft Project Ideas¹²</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ORGANISATION and PROJECT IDEAS: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Igor Grabec (SI): Amanova d.o.o. - PROJECT IDEA: MOFOCRISTA 2. Mihai Niculescu (RO): Intelligent Transport Systems Romania (ITS Romania) - PROJECT IDEAS: ACORITS, RIS WINDOW, SERIS 3. Vujadin Vesovic (ME): Faculty of Management of Transport and Communication - PROJECT IDEA: SUBITNET 4. Danilo Nikolic (ME): University of Montenegro, Maritime Faculty - PROJECT IDEAS: CISSMI, SEBAY, BALPOLCON 5. Miha Ambrož (SI): KmTM - PROJECT IDEA: CYFAS 6. Ali Dedej (AL): Albkonsults Ltd, Tirana - PROJECT IDEA: The research for development of public transport by tram in the capital missing and in towns with over 100-150 thousand people 7. Aleksandar Kostic (MK): Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - PROJECT IDEA: IPC&ADAS-SVRU 8. Ovidiu Vasile (RO): Research Institute for Construction Equipment and Technology - PROJECT IDEA: SDCHP 9. Tomislav Letnik (SI): University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transport Economics Centre - PROJECT IDEA: GREEN2 10. Ešref Gačanin (BA): IPSA Institute Sarajevo; PROJECT IDEA: SUMMON 11. Adrian Ioan Niculescu (RO): Institute of Solid Mechanics – PROJECT IDEA: High performances with the novel European VZN damper in vehicles and construction 12. Gabriel Benga (RO): University of Craiova, The Faculty of Engineering and Management of the Technological Systems - PROJECT IDEA: IDA 13. Valter Suban (SI): University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Maritime Studies and Transport – PROJECT IDEA: Ballast Water Exchange Using Fresh Water Production Based on Waste Energy • ORGANISATIONS: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Igor Žula (S): 3 LOGIT, podjetje za svetovanje, izgradnjo in upravljanje d.o.o. 2. Tomaž Amon (SI): Amnim d.o.o., center for scientific visualization 3. Nikolina Rebić (HR): as2con-alveus

¹² Project ideas and profiles of researching organisations in written form are displayed during the course of the entire event on pin boards set up in the foyer.

15:30	Coffee Break
16:15	<p>Continuation of Brokerage Presentations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Alenka Šajn Slak (SI): CGS plus d.o.o. 5. Edouard Ivanjko (HR): Department of Control and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb 6. Giovanni Trombetta (IT): Elsag Datamat SpA 7. Karlo Martinović (HR): Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Zagreb Department of Geotechnics 8. Fedja Netjasov (RS): Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, University of Belgrade 9. Shkelqim Gjevori (AL): Institute of Transport, Ministry of Public Works of Transport 10. Sixto Santonja-Hernández (ES): Instituto Tecnológico de la Energía-ITE 11. Urša Marinšek Šrot (SI): International Trust Fund for Demining and Mine Victims Assistance - ITF 12. Andonaq Londo Lamani (AL): Polytechnic University of Tirana-Albania 13. Franjo Mihoci (HR): Prometis Ltd., Engineering, research and development in transport 14. Jovica Vasiljević (RS): Road Traffic Safety Agency (RTSA) 15. Kristina Jakimovska (MK): Ss. Cyril and Methodius University - Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje 16. Nenad Miljić (RS): University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Internal Combustion Engines Department 17. Marijan Žura (SI): University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering, Traffic Technical Institute 18. Peter Vidmar (SI): University of Ljubljana, Faculty of maritime studies and transport 19. Ivica Smojver (HR): University of Zagreb, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Department of Aeronautical Engineering 20. Kristi Bombol (MK): University St. Kliment Ohridski - Bitola, Faculty of Technical Sciences - Department for Traffic and Transport 21. Aleš Žnidarič (SI): ZAG - Zavod za gradbeništvo Slovenije / Slovenian National Building and Civil Engineering Institute 22. Alexander Dahl (DE): PTV AG - Planning Transportation Visions
17:30	End of Meeting
19:30	Joint Dinner – Hotel Lev

13 April 2011: Thematic Workshops – Identifying of Research Topics and Ideas

09:00	<p>Welcome Address dr. Aleš Mihelič</p>
--------------	---

	Slovenian Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology
	<p>Longer-range Perspectives for Cross-border Cooperation in Transport Research – Structures for Support in Networking</p> <p>WBC-INCO.NET – Coordination of Research Policies with the Western Balkan Countries dr. Ulrike Kunze International Bureau of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research at the German Aerospace Centre (DLR)</p> <p>TransSLO-PLUS dr. Stane Božičnik University of Maribor</p>
	<p>Brief Overview of Expected Focus Areas for Future Calls for Theme Transport (FP7) and Forward Look to FP8</p> <p>David Doerr European Transport NCP Alliance (ETNA)</p>

Introduction to the Parallel Workshops

13 April 2011: Thematic Workshops – Identifying of Research Topics and Ideas

10:00	Coffee Break
10:30	<p>Parallel Workshops - Development of Sound Ideas and Application Process *</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ROAD AND INTERMODAL – Conference room “Karantanija” (ground floor) chair: Dennis Schut <p>Project Ideas Explanations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MOFOCRISTA - Modelling and forecasting of critical states in traffic flows (Igor Grabec, SI) 2. ACORTIS - Advanced co-modal traffic management and information services in border areas (Mihai Niculescu, RO) 3. RIS WINDOW - Advanced hinterland container transport management services based on a "single window interface with RIS technologies (Mihai Niculescu, RO) 4. SUBITNET - Sustainable Balkan Intermodal Terminal network (Vujadin Vesovič, ME) 5. GREEN2 - Green Corridors, Eco-driving (Tomislav Letnik, SI) 6. SERIS - The security architecture of RIS systems (Mihai Niculescu, RO) 7. SUMMON - Sustainable Mobility Network Planning in WBC (Ešref Gačanin, BA) 8. High performances with the novel European VZN damper in vehicles and construction (Adrian Ioan Niculescu, RO) <p>Best Practice Project:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Forecasting of traffic flows and congestions development at bottlenecks on Slovenian high-way network (Igor Grabec, SI) 10. RENAISSANCE - Testing innovative strategies for clean urban transport for historic European cities (Kristi Bombol, MK) 11. SeRoN - Security of Road Transport Networks (Alexander Dahl, DE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WATERBORNE AND OTHER – Conference room “Oleksa” (13th floor) chair: Erik van de Burgwal

	<p>Project Ideas Explanations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. CiSSMI - Corrosion impacts on ship's safety and maritime environment (Danilo Nikolić, ME) 2. SEBAY - Shipping emission in specific bay regions (Danilo Nikolić, ME) 3. BALPOLCON - Advanced analytical method for ballast water pollutant control (Danilo Nikolić, ME) 4. SDCHP - Seismic isolators with composite neoprene (Ovidiu Vasile, RO) 5. IPC&ADAS-SVRU - Intelligent pedestrian crossings (Aleksandar Kostić, MK) 6. CYFAS - Usability assessment of urban cycling facilities (Miha Ambrož, SI) 7. The research for development of public transport by tram (Ali Dedej, AL) 8. IDA - Pollutant Emissions Reduction of IWT Vessels on Danube Corridor (Gabriel Benga, RO) 9. Ballast Water Exchange Using Fresh Water Production Based on Waste Energy (Valter Suban, SI) <p>Best Practice Project:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 10. SPUTNIC - Second-hand rolling stock and upgraded infrastructure: Business cards for sustainable cities? (Andreas Friedrichsen, DE) 11. RESET - Reduced separation minima (airborne) (Fedja Netjasov, RS) 12. ADRIATIC3s - Environment protection, improvement of cross-border infrastructure and modal shift to short sea shipping (Natalija Jolić, HR) 13. PRESS4TRANSPORT - Virtual Press Office to improve EU Sustainable Surface Transport research media visibility on a national and regional level (Hedlund Bjoern, BE)
13:00	<p>Résumé of SEETRANS 2011 and Look to the Future Conference room "Karantanija" (ground floor)</p> <p>dr. Fedor Černe Slovenian Ministry of Transport</p>
13:30	Lunch

List of participants:

Name	Organisation	E-mail	Country
Shaban Buza	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Prishtina	shabanbuza@fim.uni-pr.edu	XK
Zlatko Podgorski	Ministry of Transport RS	Zlatko.Podgorski@gov.si	SI
Franc Žepič	Ministry of Transport RS, International Affairs Directorate	franc.zepic@gov.si	SI
Robert Muha	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Maritime Studies and Transportation	robert.muha@fpp.uni-lj.si	SI
Ramadan Duraku	University of Pristina, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Traffic and	r_duraku@hotmail.com, ramadan.duraku@ks-gov.net	XK

	Transport		
Dražen Žgaljić	Klaster intermodalnog prijevoza	info@shortsea.hr	HR
Janez Podobnik	ECPD International institute for sustainable development	janez.podobnik@ecpd.si	SI
Hans Rohowetz	FFG-Austrian Research Promotion Agency	hans.rohowetz@ffg.at	AT
Karlo Martinović	Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Zagreb Department of Geotechnics	kmartinovic@master.grad.hr, kmartinovic@grad.hr	HR
Besim Mustafa	Kosova Chamber of Commerce	Besim.Mustafa@oek-kcc.org	XK
Qamil Feka	Ministry of Infrastructure and Transport	qamil.feka@ks-gov.net	XK
Petra Gamberger Lončarič	Slovenia Control Ltd.	Petra.Gamberger@sloveniacontrol.si	SI
Ulrike Kunze	International Bureau of the Federal Ministry of Education and Research at the Project Management Agency c/o German Aerospace Center	Ulrike.Kunze@dlr.de	DE
Jose Mainez Bosch	INSOLATIO PAMASOL, S.L.	jmainez@insolatio.com	ES
Andonaq Londo Lamani	Polytechnic University of Tirana-Albania	alondo@fim.edu.al; a_londo2001@yahoo.co.uk	AL
Gramos Gjilkolli	General Directorate of Albanian Railway	gramosgjilkolli@gmail.com	AL
Natalija Jolić	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences	natalija@fpz.hr	HR
Rene Wintjes	UNU-MERIT, Maastricht University	r.wintjes@maastrichtuniversity.nl	NL
Emir Hota	Kanton Sarajevo - Ministarstvo saobraćaja - Direkcija za puteve	emir.hota@dp.ks.gov.ba	BA
Kristi Bombol	University St. Kliment Ohridski - Bitola, Faculty of Technical Sciences - Department for Traffic and Transport	kristi.bombol@uklo.edu.mk	MK
Bastian Raue	Federal Ministry of Education and Research	Bastian.Raue@bmbf.bund.de	DE
Bojan Rosi	University of Maribor Faculty of Logistics Celje - Krško	Bojan.Rosi@fl.uni-mb.si	SI
Gašper Šušteršič	KmTM	gasper.sustersic@fs.uni-lj.si	SI
Peter Jenček	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Maritime Studies and Transportation	peter.jencek@fpp.uni-lj.si	SI

Violeta Atanasovska	Ministry of Education and Science	vatanasovska@gmail.com	MK
Danijel Šogorović	University of Mostar	danijel.sogorovic@sve-mo.ba	BA
Valter Suban	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Maritime Studies and Transport	valter.suban@fpp.uni-lj.si	SI
Jožica Rovšek	Statistični urad RS	Jozica.Rovsek@gov.si	SI
Vesna Brašić	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Kraljevo	brasic.v@mfv.kg.ac.rs	RS
Valentina Zileska - Pancovska	Faculty of Civil Engineering, University "St. Cyril and Methodius", Skopje, Republic of Macedonia	valentinazp@gf.ukim.edu.mk	MK
Miha Ambrož	KmTM	miha.ambroz@fs.uni-lj.si	SI
Ivan Prebil	KmTM	ivan.prebil@fs.uni-lj.si	SI
Samo Zupan	KmTM	samo.zupan@fs.uni-lj.si	SI
Carl-Magnus Carlsson	Malmö University	Carl-Magnus.Carlsson@mah.se	SE
Igor Grabec	Amanova d.o.o., Technology Park 18, Ljubljana	igor.grabec@amanova.si	SI
Franc Švegl	Amanova d.o.o., Technology Park 18, Ljubljana	franc.svegl@amanova.si	SI
Ivana Čavar	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, University of Zagreb	ivana.cavar@fpz.hr	HR
Ivan Grgurević	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, University of Zagreb	ivan.grgurevic@fpz.hr	HR
Tomislav Mihetec	University of Zagreb, Faculty of transport and traffic sciences, Department of air transport	tmihetec@fpz.hr	HR
Andrija Vidović	University of Zagreb, Faculty of transport and traffic sciences, Department of air transport	avidovic@fpz.hr	HR
Ali Dedej	Albkonsults Ltd, Tirana	alidedej@hotmail.com	AL
Martin Starcevic	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering	martin.starcevic@fpz.hr	HR
Luka Novačko	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences	lnovacko@fpz.hr, luka.novacko@fpz.hr	HR
Sanja Steiner	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, Department of Air Transport	ssteiner@fpz.hr	HR
Mojca Zlobec	Statistični urad RS	Mojca.Zlobec@gov.si	SI
Vlatka Stupalo	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences	vlatka.stupalo@fpz.hr	HR

Nikolina Brnjac	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences	brnjac@fpz.hr	HR
Genti Hoxha	Agency for Research, Technology and Innovation	genti.hoxha@akti.gov.al	AL
Katarina Mostarac	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences	katarina.mostarac@fpz.hr	HR
Mihaela Bukljaš Skočibušić	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences	mihaelab@fpz.hr	HR
Marko Slavulj	University of Zagreb, Faculty of transport and traffic sciences	msslavulj@fpz.hr, marko.slavulj@fpz.hr	HR
David Egbon Igbinehimwen	Modern Engineering Consultants Office	dsuure@yahoo.com	EG
Franc Zemljič	Slovenske železnice d.o.o. - Področje za raziskave in razvoj	Franc.Zemljic@slo-zeleznice.si	SI
Shkelqim Gjevori	Institute of Transport, Ministry of Public Works of Transport	gjevorishkelqim@yahoo.com, shkelqim.gjevori@ital.gov.al	AL
Kristina Jakimovska	Ss. Cyril and Methodius University - Faculty of Mechanical Engineering - Skopje	kristina@mf.edu.mk	MK
Nenad Nikolic	South East Europe Transport Observatory (SEETO)	nnikolic@seetoint.org	RS
Pero Škorput	Faculty of Traffic and Transport Scences and ITS Croatia	skorput@gmail.com, pero.skorput@fpz.hr	HR
Ugo Poli	Anteja ECG doo	ugopoli@spin.it	IT
Davor Kozmus	Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology	davor.kozmus@gov.si	SI
Aleš Mihelič	Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology	ales.mihelic@gov.si	SI
Ales Loncaric	Euromobilita s.r.o.	ales@euromobilita.com	CZ
Marijan Žura	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering, Traffic Technical Institute	marijan.zura@fgg.uni-lj.si, mzura@fgg.uni-lj.si	SI
Miloš Pregl	Ministry of Transport	Milos.Pregl@gov.si	SI
Alejandro Ruiz Merino	Centre for the Development of Industrial Technology (CDTI)	alejandro.ruiz@cdti.es	ES
Elen Twrdy	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of maritime studies and transportation	elen.twrdy@fpp.uni-lj.si	SI
Milan Batista	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of maritime studies and transportation	milan.batista@fpp.uni-lj.si	SI
Erik van de Burgwal	Ministry of Economic Affairs	erik.vandeburgwal@agentschapnl.nl	NL
Hrvoje	Faculty of Transport and Traffic	haramina@fpz.hr	HR

Haramina	Sciences		
Amela Delić - Pozderović	Fondacija za visoko obrazovanje - SUS BiH	amela.delic@sus.ba	BA
Fedor Černe	Ministry of Transport RS	Fedor.Cerne@gov.si	SI
Marjana Petrović	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Science	mpetrovi@fpz.hr, marjana.petrovic@fpz.hr	HR
Peter Vidmar	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of maritime studies and transport	peter.vidmar@fpp.uni-lj.si	SI
Lucian Matei	SC IPA CIFATT CRAIOVA SA	lucian.matei@ipacv.ro	RO
Dragoljub Vujic	Military Technical Institute, Belgrade	oteh@vti.vs.rs	RS
Barbara Simonič Bocquet	Government Office of the Republic of Slovenia of Climate Change	Barbara.Simonic@gov.si	SI
Meho-Saša Kovačević	Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Zagreb - Department of Geotechnics	mšk@grad.hr	HR
Stanislav Bras Meglič	Ministry of Transport RS	Stanislav.Meglic@gov.si	SI
Dino Zupanovic	University of Zagreb, Faculty of transport and traffic sciences	dino.zupanovic@fpz.hr, dino.zupanovic@gmail.com	HR
Miran Pirnar	Slovenian Railways Ltd.	miran.pirnar@slo-zeleznice.si	SI
Martina Prusnik	Habjan Transport, d.o.o., Škofja Loka	promet@HabjanTransport.si, martina.prusnik@gmail.com	SI
Sadko Mandžuka	Faculty of Traffic Science	sadko.mandzuka@gmail.com, mandzukas@fpz.hr	HR
Nenad Gubelj	University of Maribor, Faculty of mechanical engineering, Laboratory for machine parts and structures	nenad.gubelj@uni-mb.si	SI
Juan Francisco Reyes Sánchez	Centre for the Development of Industrial Technology (CDTI)	juanfrancisco.reyes@cdi.es	ES
Bojan Merlin	GENEA d.o.o.	bojan.merlin@genea.si	SI
Fedja Netjasov	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, University of Belgrade	f.netjasov@sf.bg.ac.rs	RS
Radosav Jovanović	University of Belgrade, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering	r.jovanovic@sf.bg.ac.rs	RS
Nada Milošević	Ministry of Education and Science	nada.milosevic@nauka.gov.rs	RS
Sixto Santonja-Hernández	Instituto Tecnológico de la Energía-ITE	sixto.santonja@ite.es	ES
Steve Phillips	FEHRL	steve.phillips@fehrl.org	BE

Miha Levstek	Etel d.o.o.	miha.levstek@etrel.si	SI
Romana Pečnik	Strokovna sodelavka VII/2-II - za investicije	romana.pecnik@krsko.si	SI
Simon Detellbach	Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering	simon.detellbach@fgg.uni-lj.si	SI
Martin Škarka	Technology Centre AS CR	skarka@tc.cz	CZ
Aljoša Žerjal	Harpha sea	cale@harphasea.si	SI
Aleksandar Markoski	Faculty of Technical Sciences Bitola, University St. Climent Ohridski	aleksandar.markoski@gmail.com, aleksandar.markoski@uklo.edu.mk	MK
Alberto Preti	Institute for Transport and Logistics - ITL	APreti@Regione.Emilia-Romagna.it	IT
Marco Mobrici	Institute for Transport and Logistics Foundation (ITL)	MMobrici@Regione.Emilia-Romagna.it	IT
Joško Deur	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture	josko.deur@fsb.hr	HR
Goran Kos	Institute of Transportation and Communications	goran.kos1@gmail.com, kos@ipv-zg.hr	HR
Ana Raposo	ADI - FP7 Promotion Office (GPPQ)	ana.raposo@gppq.mctes.pt	PT
Hajrija Filipović	Automotive center - centar za vozila d.o.o. Sarajevo	hfilipovic@automotivecenter.ba	BA
Boran Pikula	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering University of Sarajevo & Automotive center - Centar za vozila d.o.o. Sarajevo	pikula@mef.unsa.ba & pikula@automotivecenter.ba	BA
Igor Bačkalov	University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Naval Architecture	ibackalov@mas.bg.ac.rs	RS
Matej Gabrovec	ZRC SAZU	matej@zrc-sazu.si	SI
Nenad Zrnić	Innovation centre of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering of Belgrade	nzrnic@mas.bg.ac.rs	RS
Simon Novak	Ministry of Transport RS	Simon.Novak@gov.si	SI
Ivica Smojver	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Department of Aeronautical Engineering	ismojver@fsb.hr	HR
Bojan Dragojević	AUTO DIVISIO CROATIA	uprava@carsharing.hr	HR
Aleksandar	Ss. Cyril and Methodius	akostic@mf.edu.mk	MK

Kostic	University in Skopje, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering		
Josip Božičević	Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts	puljiz@fpz.hr; ssteiner@fpz.hr	HR
Ovidiu Vasile	Research Institute for Construction Equipment and Technology	ovidiu@icecon.ro	RO
Igor Žula	3 Logit d.o.o.	igor.zula@3logit.si	SI
Deda Đelović	The Port of Bar	deda.djelovic@lukabar.me	ME
Bojan Žlender	Ministry of Transport of the Republic of Slovenia	bojan.zlender@gov.si	SI
Saša Džumhur	IPSA Institute LCC	sasa.dzumhur@ipsa-institut.com	BA
Ešref Gačanin	IPSA Institute LCC	ipsage@bih.net.ba	BA
Robert Rijavec	UL, Fakulteta za gradbeništvo in geodezijo	robert.rijavec@fgg.uni-lj.si	SI
Alenka Šajn Slak	CGS plus d.o.o.	alenka.sajn@cgsplus.si	SI
Samo Čarman	CGS plus d.o.o.	samo.carman@cgsplus.si	SI
Rok Kršmanc	CGS plus d.o.o.	Rok.Krsmanc@cgsplus.si	SI
Blaž Gostiša	Traffic Design d.o.o.	b.gostisa@traffic-design.si	SI
Jure Pirc	Traffic Design d.o.o.	j.pirc@traffic-design.si	SI
Tomasz Dowgielewicz	Institute of Logistics and Warehousing	Tomasz.Dowgielewicz@ilim.poznan.pl	PL
Irena Strnad	Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering	irena.strnad@fgg.uni-lj.si	SI
Milena Mitric	Institute of marine biology - Kotor	milenamitric@gmail.com	ME
Mirko Djapic	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Kraljevo	mdjapic@yahoo.com	RS
Dragan Prsic	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Kraljevo	prsic.d@mfv.kg.ac.rs	RS
Dragan Aleksendric	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering University of Belgrade, Laboratory for Motor Vehicles Safety	daleksendric@mas.bg.ac.rs	RS
Josip Kasum	Hydrographic Institute of the Republic of Croatia / Faculty of Maritime Studies - Split, Croatia	josip.kasum@hhi.hr, jkasum@pfst.hr	HR
Vinka Jurić	Hydrographic Institute of the Republic of Croatia	vinka.juric@hhi.hr	HR
Frane Mitrović	Faculty of Maritime Studies - Split, Croatia	fmitrov@pfst.hr	HR

Rosanda Mulić	Faculty of Maritime Studies - Split, Croatia	rosanda@pfst.hr	HR
Nikola Racic	Faculty of Maritime Studies - Split, Croatia	nikola.racic@pfst.hr	HR
Pero Vidan	Faculty of Maritime Studies - Split, Croatia	pvidan@pfst.hr	HR
Tonči Carić	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, Department of Intelligent Transport Systems	tonci.caric@fpz.hr	HR
Igor Radić	Ministry of sea, transport and infrastructure	Igor.Radic@pomorstvo.hr	HR
Matjaž Safran	University of Maribor, Faculty of Logistics	Matjaz.Safran@fl.uni-mb.si	SI
Marjan Sternad	University of Maribor, Faculty of Logistics	marjan.sternad@fl.uni-mb.si	SI
Ivan Novak	Rail Cargo Austria AG, Podružnica Ljubljana, Mtelkova 7, 1000 Ljubljana	Ivan.Novak@railcargo.at	SI
Stanislav Pavlin	Faculty of Transportation and Traffic Sciences, Zagreb	stanislav.pavlin@fpz.hr	HR
Tomaž Vidic	DARS d.d.	Tomaz.Vidic@dars.si	SI
Zoran Radmilovic	University of Belgrade, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering	z.radmilovic@sf.bg.ac.rs	RS
Edouard Ivanjko	Department of Control and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb	Edouard.Ivanjko@fer.hr	HR
Gregor Trtnik	IGMAT d.d.	grega.trtnik@igmat.eu	SI
Vujadin Vesovic	Faculty of Management of Transport and Communication	vujadin.vesovic@gmail.com	ME
Gospic	Faculty of Management of Transport and Communication		ME
Jovica Vasiljević	Road Traffic Safety Agency (RTSA)	jovica.vasiljevic@abs.gov.rs	RS
Nenad Miljić	University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Internal Combustion Engines Department	nmiljic@mas.bg.ac.rs	RS
Slobodan Popović	University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Internal Combustion Engines Department	spopovic@mas.bg.ac.rs	RS
Comisu Cristian-Claudiu	Faculty of Civil Engineering and Building Services	comisucristian@yahoo.com	RO
Adrian Ioan Niculescu	Institute of Solid Mechanics	adrian_ioan_niculescu@yahoo.com	RO

Franjo Mihoci	Prometis Ltd., Engineering, research and development in transport	franjo.mihoci@zg.t-com.hr, prometis@zg.t-com.hr	HR
Zeljko Ivanovic	Center for logistics and transportation "Z-logistics" - Bar	bubazel@t-com.me, clt@t-com.me	ME
Danilo Nikolic	University of Montenegro - Maritime Faculty	fzpdekan@ac.me, dannikol@t-com.me	ME
Mihai Niculescu	Intelligent Transport Systems Romania - ITS Romania	mihai.niculescu@its-romania.ro	RO
Sabrina Bozzoli	Agency for the Promotion of European Research (APRE)	bozzoli@apre.it	IT
Nikolina Rebić	as2con-alveus	nikolina.rebic@as2con.com	HR
Teuta Duletić	as2con-alveus	www.as2con.com	HR
Diana Spiteri	Malta Council for Science & Technology	diana.a.spiteri@gov.mt	MT
Aleš Žnidarič	ZAG - Zavod za gradbeništvo Slovenije / Slovenian National Building and Civil Engineering Institute	ales.znidaric@zag.si	SI
Izet Bajrambasic	Ministry of Communications and Transport	Izet.Bajrambasic@mkt.gov.ba	BA
Darko Babić	Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, University of Zagreb	dbabic@fpz.hr	HR
Grega Stalowsky	Elektroservisi d.d.	Gregor.Stalowsky@elektroservisi.si	SI
Janez Zrnec	Elektroservisi d.d.	janez.zrnec@elektroservisi.si	SI
Urša Marinšek Šrot	International Trust Fund for Demining and Mine Victims Assistance - ITF	Ursa.Marinsek-Srot@itf-fund.si	SI
Roman Turšič	International Trust Fund for Demining and Mine Victims Assistance - ITF	roman.tursic@itf-fund.si	SI
Dorijan Maršič	International Trust Fund for Demining and Mine Victims Assistance – ITF	info@itf-fund.si	SI
Giovanni Trombetta	Elsag Datamat SpA	giovanni.trombetta@elsagdatamat.com	IT
Tomaž Amon	Amnim d.o.o., center for scientific visualization	tomaz.amon@bioanim.com	SI
Jesus Monclus	CDTI	jesus.monclus@cdti.es, jmonclus@cdti.es	ES
Milena Milonjic	Ministry of Science	milena.milonjic@mna.gov.me	ME
Orly Mulla	ISERD	orly@iserd.org.il	IL

Jani Toroš	IMI	jani.toros@imi-institute.org	SI
Elena Villalba Mora	CDTI	elena.villalba@cdti.es	ES
Borut Petkovšek	Zavod za gradbeništvo Slovenije (ZAG Ljubljana)	borut.petkovsek@zag.si	SI
Stane Božičnik	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transport Economics Centre	stane.bozicnik@uni-mb.si	SI
Tomislav Letnik	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transport Economics Centre	tomislav.letnik@uni-mb.si	SI
Katja Hanžič	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transport Economics Centre	katja.hanzic@uni-mb.si	SI
Franc Razbornik	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transport Economics Centre	franc.razbornik@uni-mb.si	SI
Aleš Erjavec	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transport Economics Centre	ales.erjavec@uni-mb.si	SI
Maršenka Marksel	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Transport Economics Centre		SI
Barbara Božičnik	University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Social Sciences		SI
Marko Tisovec	DOK-ING d.o.o.	m.tisovec@dok-ing.hr	HR
Mladen Pađen	DOK-ING d.o.o.	m.paden@dok-ing.hr	HR
Iva Hrgovan	DOK-ING d.o.o.	iva.hrgovan@gmail.com	HR
Marinko Biljanovic	Ministarstvo Saobraćaja i veza Rpublike Srpske	msv@msv.vladars.net	BA
Albin Rakar	Fakulteta za gradbeništvo in geodezijo Univerze v Ljubljani		SI
David Doerr	TÜV Rheinland Group	david.doerr@de.tuv.com	DE
Andreas Friedrichsen	TÜV Rheinland Group	Andreas.Friedrichsen@de.tuv.com	DE
Savelieva Alexandra	TsAGI (Central Aerohydrodynamic Institute named after prof. N.E. Zhukovsky)	ved@tsagi.ru	RU
Andrej Škraba	Univerza v Mariboru, Fakulteta za organizacijske vede	andrej.skraba@fov.uni-mb.si	SI
Ciupitu Ion	University of Craiova	ion_ciupitu@yahoo.com	RO

Alexander Dahl	PTV AG	Alexander.Dahl@ptv.de	DE
Lotrič Brane	B&B izobraževanje in usposabljanje	brane.lotric@bb.si	SI
Mitja Klemenčič	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering	mitja.klemencic@uni-mb.si	SI
Nusret Canović	Ministry of Transport and Maritime Affairs	nusret.canovic@msp.gov.me	ME
Angelina Zivkovic	Ministry of Transport and Maritime Affairs	angelina.zivkovic@msp.gov.me	ME
Drago Sever	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering	drago.sever@uni-mb.si	SI
Beno Mesarec	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering	beno.mesarec@uni-mb.si	SI
Andrej Čufer	Orbipark d.o.o.	andrej.cufer@orbipark.com	SI
Holger Bach	Wirtschaftsförderung Region Stuttgart GmbH	holger.bach@region-stuttgart.de	DE
Mlinar Aleš	Engrotuš d.d.	ales.mlinar@tus.si	SI
Pleteršek Marko	Engrotuš d.d.	marko.pleterske@tus.si	SI
Ognjen Kuljača	Brodarski institut d.o.o.	okuljaca@hrbi.hr	HR
Drasko Mück	Brodarski institut d.o.o.	drasko.muck@hrbi.hr	HR
Rok Jaušovec	Roktrans	rok.jausovec@gmail.com	SI
A. Kivanç Tos	TÜBİTAK	kivanc.tos@tubitak.gov.tr	TR
Marjan Lep	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering	lep@uni-mb.si	SI
Silvo Cesnik	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering	silvo.cesnik@uni-mb.si	SI
Srečko Mavsar	ACK Express	srecko.mavsar@ack.si	SI
Zbigniew Turek	NCP Poland	zbigniew.turek@kpk.gov.pl	PL
Mikołaj Pyczak	NCP Poland	mikolaj.pyczak@kpk.gov.pl	PL
Sebastian Toplak	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering	sebastian.toplak@uni-mb.si	SI
Samir Kobler	IBT KOBLER	srecko.mavsar@ack.si	SI
Abram Roman	Abrams projekt	roman.abram@vipap.si	SI
Anamarija Mrgole Ljubič	University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering	anamarija.mrgole@uni-mb.si	SI
Branislav Popović	Perfttech d.o.o.	brane.popovic@perfttech.si	SI
Sorin Savu	University of Craiova	sorin.savu@yahoo.com	RO

Benga Gabriel	University of Craiova	gabrielbenga@yahoo.com	RO
Blaž Jemenšek	Prometni inštitut Ljubljana d.o.o.	blaz.jemensek@prometni-institut.si	SI
Aleksander Vreze	4S d.o.o.	aleksander.vreze@uni-mb.si	SI
Liam Breslin	European Commission	liam.breslin@ec.europa.eu	IE
Dennis Schut	UIC - International Union of Railways	SCHUT@uic.org	BE
Mátyás Lazáry	PBN - Pannon Business Network	matyas.lazary@pbn.hu	HU
Hedlund Bjoern	CLEPA: The voice of the automotive supply industry in Europe	b.hedlund@clepa.be	BE

STATISTICS BY COUNTRY	
5	AL (Albania)
1	AT (Austria)
9	BA (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
3	BE (Belgium)
2	CZ (Czech Republic)
6	DE (Germany)
1	EG (Egypt)
6	ES (Spain)
45	HR (Croatia)
1	IE (Ireland)
1	IL (Israel)
5	IT (Italy)
9	ME (Montenegro)
6	MK (Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia)
1	MT (Malta)
2	NL (Netherlands)
3	PL (Poland)
1	PT (Portugal)
8	RO (Romania)
15	RS (Serbia)
1	RU (Russia)
1	SE (Sweden)
87	SI (Slovenia)

1	TR (Turkey)	
4	XK (Kosovo under UNSCR 1244)	
1	HU (Hungary)	
225	TOTAL	

Useful links and addresses

http://ec.europa.eu/invest-in-research/policy/eu_tech_platform_en.htm
<http://cordis.europa.eu/technology-platforms/>
<http://www.fg.uni-mb.si/tec/>
<http://www.transport-ncps.net>
<http://www.fehrl.org/>
<http://www.transnew.eu/>
<http://www.errac.org/>
<http://www.waterborne-tp.org/>
<http://www.green-cars-initiative.eu/public/>
<http://www.ertrac.org/>
<http://www.acare4europe.com/>
http://cordis.europa.eu/home_en.html
<http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/appmanager/participants/portal>
<http://www.ec.europa.eu/research/csfr/>

10 Annex 6: Consultation session on Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH)

WBC-INCO.NET co-organised a consultation session on Social Sciences and Humanities (SSH) on September 18, 2011 back to back with the conference "Challenge Social Innovation" taking place on September 21-23, 2011 in Vienna/Austria. Over 40 persons (see participants list below) - eminent scientists and high-level researchers, National Contact Points from the region and project partners as well as persons from Science Ministries and Agencies attended the WBC-INCO.NET pre-conference event.

Elke Dall (ZSI) and **Bastian Raue** (BMBF) welcomed the participants to the event. They informed them about logistics at the conference and announced the WBC-INCO-NET project "stand" at the conference, where printed versions of the background reports on Social Sciences and Humanities in the WBC were available.

Dr Ulrike Kunze introduced the participants to the aim of the WBC-INCO.NET project and the priority setting process in the WBCs. Until now, there are identified Regional Research Priorities in the following themes: ICT, Agrofood, Health, Transport and Environment. Combining the consultation session with a large EU-Conference and a brokerage event is much more useful for researchers from Western Balkan countries, if the participation of WBC researchers in the EU FP7 projects should be increased. The best examples are the Transport follow-up in April 2011 (see Annex 5) and the event on Social Sciences and Humanities in September 2011.

Dr Jadranka Švarc from the Institute Ivo Pilar in Zagreb gave a presentation on "Innovation in the Western Balkan Countries". She presented Task 8.1. in WP8: Comparative analysis of the innovation capacities and needs of WBC with a view of identifying joint cooperation needs in the area of innovation. Dr Švarc emphasised that additional efforts are needed to strengthen the Balkan region in terms of innovation and entrepreneurship capacities. In the majority of WBC total investments in R&D, except Serbia and Croatia, is negligible, while business R&D barely exists. Innovation in WBC is not science-based and governance of innovation and R&D have a marginal role for economic development.

She compared the most important factors for regional cooperation that need improvements. The factor "removing administrative burdens for regional cooperation" is recognised by both - entrepreneurs and researchers - as the most important factor that needs improvement. The developing regional initiatives for large infrastructural projects are important for both business and researchers stakeholders.

Milena Milonjic from the Montenegrin Ministry of Science gave an overview on the national background reports. Seven background reports had been prepared on the national research systems in the WBCs in the field of social sciences and humanities, on social innovation and on the priorities of SSH research.

The reports were distributed during the conference "Challenge Social Innovation" at the WBC-INCO.NET stand and directly handed over to Mr. Robert Burmanjer from DG Research and Innovation from the European Commission together with a list of priorities for the region.

The participants made short presentations about the state-of-the-art in their countries and results in SSH research achieved so far.

The participants used this opportunity to further discuss the research topics identified in the background reports which are of a regional priority for Western Balkan countries and where cooperation in joint research projects is possible. They discussed the topics on: Foresight for Social Sciences and Humanities (a process to establish panels in SSH fields, bottom-up discussions), Transition, urbanisation, Digitalisation, use of ICTs, New governance models, democratisation, effective communication in different political and social spheres, Social cohesion and integration, regionalisation, transnationalism, globalisation and EU integration, standards.

The research topics recognised as important for the region during the session are:

- Employment/Employability (education/skills, labour market)
- Economic clusters (WB specific)
- Demographic challenges (ageing, investing into younger generation, migration, urbanisation)
- Knowledge society (domestic knowledge, competitiveness, link between education and competitiveness)
- Social changes/Social inequalities and Transition
- Social Science and Social movements (grass root movements)
- Technology and Innovation, link between research and business

Conference "Challenge Social Innovation"

The Conference "**Challenge Social Innovation – Innovating innovation by research – 100 years after Schumpeter**" including a **NET4SOCIETY Networking event** pertaining to the SSH Call for Proposals 2012, was attended by 370 participants from 54 countries. It was co-organised by NET4SOCIETY (the Network of Socio-economic Sciences and Humanities National Contact Points), the European Commission, the Centre for Social Innovation, Vienna and the Dortmund University of Technology. Experts from the Western Balkan countries participated in the two-day-Conference when discussing major topics of Social Innovation. A special session was organised by WBC-INCO.NET and dedicated to the topic of "Social Innovation for inclusion and integration" (see below). On the third day, a brokerage and networking event related to the SSH Call for Proposals 2012 was organised.

What does Social Innovation mean today? How can research sustain Social Innovation? What can the Socio-Economic Sciences and Humanities (SSH) contribute? are some of the topics that were discussed at the sessions during the three day conference.

There were key-note speeches by renowned researchers and practitioners in the field, from Europe as well as from Canada, the USA, South America, and South-east Asia (Japan, South Korea). A series of sessions took place over three days, moving from policy discussion and debate to FP7 networking and engagement.

The participants developed the joint declaration called “Vienna Declaration – The most relevant topics in social innovation research”. It consists of 14 topical research questions (voted by the whole of the conference participants in a digital voting procedure from a total of 56 themes suggested), according to the 14 research areas that structured the conference (e.g. ‘social entrepreneurship’, ‘society 2.0’, ‘measuring social innovation’, ‘demographic change’ ...). The Vienna Declaration includes an information on the ‘European School of Social Innovation’, whose establishment was announced during the conference, and a request for support to this initiative that will further allow to develop the international community of researchers as well as academic education and vocational training organisations in social innovation.

The Vienna Declaration contains **14 prioritised research topics** summarized under two headings:

(1) Overall scientific advancement required to meet expectations and developments in social innovation practices:

- Elaboration on the particular features of the concept and clarification of definitions
- Embedding the concept of social innovation in a comprehensive theory of innovation
- Development of coherent methodologies to identify and measure social innovations

(2) Prioritised research topics:

- The potential of social innovation in the social economy, civil society, business firms, and the state
- Multi-level governance and receptivity of governments to social innovations
- The role of social processes in varied collaboration formats and organisational structures in business innovation
- The relationship between service innovations and social innovations
- Workplace innovations for smarter and better working
- Value creation by social innovations and measuring different sorts of value
- Monitoring, assessment, and measurement of social resources for innovation and of social impact of technology
- Approaches and competencies of social sciences to actively contribute to the practical implementation of social innovations
- The distinctive contributions of Humanities-based knowledge and methods of enquiry (time: history; ideas and concepts: philosophies and worldviews;
- communication: linguistics ...) to social innovations
- Establish a multi-national evidence-base of promising practices for inclusion and integration

- Conditions of participation and self-management in social innovations aimed at overcoming poverty and pauperisation
- Indicators of short-term and long-term effects of the educational system on quality of life, well-being, innovativeness
- Lifelong learning, work and intergenerational solidarity as components of socially engaged ageing
- Opportunities and risks of social media for enabling large scale and systemic social innovations.

These 14 prioritised topics selected from a total of 56 (identified in 14 thematic sessions) are a starting point to specify crucial research topics in Social Sciences and Humanities, aiming at the identification, development and implementation of the most needed social innovations of the 21st century.

The Vienna Declaration was handed over to Máire Geoghegan-Quinn, European Commissioner for Research, Innovation and Science, and an Open Letter from the organisers was sent to her emphasising the content of the Vienna Declaration. In her speech at the British Academy in London on November 10, 2011, Máire Geoghegan-Quinn emphasised that “the Vienna Declaration is a major contribution to a future research agenda on social innovation”.

In the frame of the conference "Challenge Social Innovation", WBC-INCO.NET co-organised the session 1.7: Social innovation for inclusion and integration including the following speakers:

- **Paul Stubbs**, The Institute of Economics, Zagreb, Croatia: “Promoting social innovations for inclusion in the Western Balkans: key challenges”
- **Sanja Popović Pantić**, Centre for Research of Development of Science and Technology, Mihajlo Pupin Institute, Belgrade, Serbia: “Inclusion of female business community in the economic mainstream”
- **Sanja Crnković-Pozaić**, consultant, Zagreb, Croatia: “Analytical tools for identifying skill needs: part of the social innovation tool kit?”
- **Dennis P. Culhane**, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA: “Housing First! Evidence-based social innovations to tackle homelessness”
- **Ana Vale**, consultant, Lisbon, Portugal: “Social innovation and inclusion: a new paradigm for action”

Matchmaking event

A focused **networking session** took place on 21st September, providing information on the upcoming call for proposals FP7-SSH-2012, which were launched in summer 2011. SSH researchers and stakeholders (universities, research institutions, civil society organisations and SMEs) had the opportunity to meet both potential coordinators and potential project partners in a structured networking environment.

This event gave the unique opportunity to build consortia for the next Socio-economic Sciences and Humanities call in FP7. In total, 280 bilateral meetings were held with 158 participants.

More information on the conference outputs is available at the conference website:
www.socialinnovation2011.eu

WBC-INCO.NET sponsored participants:

ATELJEVIC	Jovo	jovo.ateljevic@efbl.org
BENZON	Lada	lada.benzon@hit.hr
CRNKOVIC- POZAIC	Sanja	sanja.crnkovic@gmail.com
CULHANE	Dennis	culhane@sp2.upenn.edu
DALL	Elke	dall@zsi.at
DOZIC	Nikola	dozicn@t-com.me
DRAKIC	Maja	majad@t-com.me
DUKIC	Zeljka	Zeljka.dukic@nauka.gov.rs
HALIMI	Sibel	sibelhalimi@gmail.com
HASANOVIC	Alma	alma.hasanovic@mcp.gov.ba
ILAZI	Hasnija	hasnijai@hotmail.com
JELICIC	Damir	Damir.Jelicic@mzos.hr
JOSIMOVSKI	Saso	jakjor@isppi.ukim.edu.mk
KALLCIU	Nada	nkallciu@gmail.com
KENJIC	Vanja	vanja.kenjic@efsa.unsa.ba
KRASNIQI	Vjollca	vjollca_krasniqi@hotmail.com
KRASNIQI	Besnik	krasniqibesnik@hotmail.com
KUNZE	Ulrike	ulrike.kunze@dlr.de
KUTLACA	Djuro	djuro.kutlaca@pupin.rs
MARINKOVIC	Ines	marinkovic@zsi.at
MILONJIC	Milena	milena.milonjic@mna.gov.me
MUCA	Mirela	mirela.muca@gmail.com
MUHIC	Haris	haris.muhic@sus.ba
MUSAI	Bardhyl	bardhylumai@gmail.com k_noti@hotmail.com
NOTI	Kozeta	kozeta.noti@unitir.edu.al
OSDAUTAJ	Murteza	murteza.osdautaj@ks-gov.net
OSMANKOVIC	Jasmina	jasmina.osmankovic@efsa.unsa.ba
PANGO	Ylli	ypango@akti.gov.al
PETROV	Aleksandar	aleksandar.petrov@mon.gov.mk
POLJANEC-BORIĆ	Sasa	Sasa.Boric@pilar.hr
POPOVIC	Sasa	sasa@t-com.me
POPOVIC-PANTIC	Sanja	sanjap.pantic@pupin.rs
PULEVSKA	Lidija	lidijap@eccf.ukim.edu.mk
IVANOVSKA		lpulevska@gmail.com
RASEVIC	Mirjana	rasevicm@gmail.com
RAUE	Bastian	Bastian.Raue@bmbf.bund.de
SCOPETTA	Anette	scopetta@zsi.at
SOTIROVSKI	Ljupco	ljupco.sotirovski@ugd.edu.mk
SPINNEWIJN	Freek	freek.spinnewijn@feantsa.org
STUBBS	Paul	pstubbs@eizg.hr
SVARC	Jadranka	Jadranka.Svarc@pilar.hr
TOVJANIN	Tamara	tamara.tovjanin@zamtes.gov.me
TRBOVIC	Ana	atrbovic@fefu.edu.rs
VALE	Ana	anafeiovale@gmail.com
VELICKOVIC	Marija	marija.velickovic@nauka.gov.rs
VOJINOVIC	Suzana	Suzana.vojinovic@nauka.gov.rs

